Total Synthesis of $(-)$ -Reidispongiolide A, an Actin-Targeting Macrolide Isolated from the Marine Sponge Reidispongia coerulea

Ian Paterson,* Kate Ashton, Robert Britton, Giuseppe Cecere, Gaëlle Chouraqui, Gordon J. Florence, Henner Knust, and Jonathan Stafford^[a]

Dedicated to Professor Teruaki Mukaiyama on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract: A stereocontrolled total synthesis of the microfilament-destabilizing cytotoxic macrolide $(-)$ -reidispongiolide A, isolated from the New Caledonian marine sponge Reidispongia coerulea, is described. This synthesis utilizes a convergent aldol-based strategy to construct the 26-membered macrolactone, followed by the late-stage coupling of a derived aldehyde with an N-

Introduction

Natural products have proven to be a rich source of novel biologically active compounds, with the discovery of lead structures that attenuate the growth of cancer cells being an important contributor to recent progress in cancer chemotherapy.[1] Tubulin-binding natural products, such as taxol, the epothilones, and discodermolide, show potent cytotoxicity through disruption of the microtubule dynamics involved in cell division and inhibit the growth of solid tumours.^[2] However, as multidrug resistance often renders current tubulin-targeting therapies ineffective in the clinic, there is an unmet need for the development of novel antimitotic agents with other protein targets. Much like tubulin, actin plays a major role in maintaining the structural integrity of the cytoskeleton of eukaryotic cells and controlling key events in mitosis. Recently, a number of actin-binding macrolides of

[a] Prof. Dr. I. Paterson, Dr. K. Ashton, Dr. R. Britton, G. Cecere, Dr. G. Chouraqui, Dr. G. J. Florence, Dr. H. Knust, Dr. J. Stafford University Chemical Laboratory Lensfield Road Cambridge, CB2 1EW (UK) $Fax: (+44)1223 - 336362$ E-mail: ip100@cam.ac.uk

vinylformamide-containing ketone subunit to install the full side chain. Two alternative routes were examined for the introduction of the 2E,4E-dienoate region, and a complex Mukaiyama

antitumor agents · macrolides · natural products

aldol coupling was used to connect the northern and southern hemispheres to install the C13 stereocenter. This constitutes the first chemical synthesis of any member of the reidispongiolide/ sphinxolide family of marine macrolides and unequivocally establishes the **Keywords:** actin \cdot aldol reaction \cdot lides and unequivocally establishes relative and absolute configuration.

> marine origin have attracted attention as novel antimitotic agents that cause rapid loss of microfilaments in cells without affecting microtubule organization, thus retaining antiproliferative activity towards multidrug-resistant (MDR) cancer cell lines.^[3] In particular, the scytophycins, $[4]$ aplyronines,^[5] sphinxolides,^[6] and reidispongiolides^[7] demonstrate pronounced antimicrofilament activity and inhibit the growth of MDR cell lines. Although these preliminary findings highlight their potential, both as leads for new anticancer drugs and as versatile molecular probes of the organization and function of the actin cytoskeleton, their scarcity from natural sources has hampered biological evaluation and preclinical development. Thus, the realization of the chemical synthesis of these rare polyketide natural products is an attractive goal to enable further development and initiate structure–activity relationship studies.^[3a, 8] In combination with the recently available structural data on actinbound marine macrolides, $[9]$ the design of simplified analogues with tailored functional properties can also be envisaged.

> As part of a programme directed towards the synthesis of antimitotic polyketides, we recently targeted the reidispongiolide/sphinxolide class of complex marine macrolides. At the outset of our work, the relative and absolute configuration of these macrolides was uncertain, requiring the combination of degradation fragment synthesis and detailed NMR

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.chemasianj.org or from the author.

spectroscopic analysis to assign the full stereochemistry progressively, as disclosed previously.[10, 11] Herein, we report full details of our recently completed total synthesis of $(-)$ -reidispongiolide A, and provide relevant background material on the evolution of the strategy.[12] Notably, this constitutes the first member of the reidispongiolide/sphinxolide family of cytotoxic marine macrolides to be chemically synthesized and validates our stereochemical assignment.

The reidispongolide/sphinxolide family of 26-membered macrolactones (1–6; Scheme 1) are prominent members of an emerging class of actin-binding cytotoxic macrolides. Originally isolated from an unidentified Pacific nudibranch by Pietra and co-workers in 1989,^[6a] sphinxolide A (1) is one of the most highly oxygenated members. According to the Pietra report, "the name sphinxolide, from the mysterious Egyptian Sphinx, reflects our difficulties in defining the source and, for some time, the structure of the compound." A subsequent collection of the New Caledonian sponge Neosiphonia superstes by D'Auria, Minale, and co-workers led to the re-isolation of sphinxolide A, together with three congeners, designated sphinxolide B (2) , C (3) , and D (4) .^[6b] Further investigations of the marine organisms surrounding the New Caledonian coastline resulted in the discovery of two new cytotoxic macrolides, reidispongiolide A (5) and B (6), isolated from the deep-sea sponge Reidispongia coerulea.^[7] In 1999, a further collection of the sponges N. superstes and R.coerulea resulted in the isolation of four related compounds, sphinxolides E–G and reidispongiolide $C^{[6c]}$ The gross structures of the sphinxolides and reidispongiolides were determined through extensive NMR spectroscopic techniques. These complex macrolides feature a densely functionalized, 26-membered macrolactone core with a flexible side chain and a characteristic N-vinylformamide terminus. The macrolactone region contains an α , β -unsaturated δ lactone, four E alkenes, and an elaborate oxygenation pattern. Until 2004, the relative and absolute configuration of this family of complex marine macrolides remained elusive. The stereochemical assignments indicated in Scheme 1 are based on our recent synthesis of the three degradation fragments 7, 8, and 9 of reidispongiolide $A₁$ ^[10] together with related work reported by the $D'Auria^{[11]}$ and Rayment groups.[13]

Initially, the sphinxolides were found to inhibit potently actin polymerization in vitro and the microfilament-dependent ATPase activity of purified actomyosin. These macrolides displayed promising cytotoxicity profiles $(IC_{50}$ values in the low nm region) associated with the induction of cellcycle arrest at the G2/M phase leading to apoptosis. Significantly, the sphinxolides were found to be equally cytotoxic toward MCF-7 human breast carcinoma cells and a subline that overexpresses P-glycoprotein. Similarly, overexpression of the multidrug-resistance-associated protein by HL-60 human leukemia cells did not result in resistance to the sphinxolides.^[6] Screening of reidispongiolides A and B against various cancer cell lines demonstrated a similar degree of activity to the sphinxolides.^[7] Again, no decrease in potency was observed with MDR cell lines.

Altogether, these preliminary biological studies showed the sphinxolides and reidispongiolides to be potent antimicrofilament agents that can overcome multidrug resistance, with promise as lead compounds for the development of new chemotherapeutic agents that target actin. As such, they constitute attractive targets for total-synthesis efforts. We now focus on the design and pursuit of our ultimately successful synthetic route to reidispongiolide A that was greatly influenced by new methodology developed in our group, particularly in the area of asymmetric boron aldol reactions for assembling the stereochemically elaborate polyol backbone.

Scheme 1. Structures of the sphinxolide and reidispongiolide marine macrolides, as well as the degradation fragments 7, 8, and 9 of reidispongiolide A used to determine the stereochemistry.

368 www.chemasianj.org © 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 367 – 387

Synthetic Planning

The initial selection of key disconnections for reidispongiolide A was guided by degradation studies using controlled ozonolysis, as performed by D'Auria and co-workers, $[11a]$ which led to three fragments whose stereochemistry was firmly established as indicated in 7, 8, and 9 by synthetic studies and detailed NMR correlations performed in our $group, [10]$ as well as related independent studies reported by D'Auria and co-workers.^[11] As outlined in Scheme 2, our resulting synthetic strategy for reidispongiolide A (5) involved a late-stage introduction of the C30–C36 side-chain segment 10, which incorporates the sensitive N -vinylformamide functionality, by a suitable aldol coupling with the C1–C29 aldehyde subunit 11, which incorporates the 26-membered macrocyclic lactone. The latter would be accessed by a suitable macrolactonization reaction involving the C25 hydroxy group. In this analysis, the $2E,4E$ -diene unit would be introduced at a relatively early stage. Hence, we elected to disassemble the macrolactone 11 further into the key subunits 12 (C14–C29) and 13 (C1–C13) based on an envisaged second aldol coupling to introduce the C13 stereocenter with the required configuration, followed by controlled reduction to set the 1,3-anti-related C15 stereocenter. A distinctive structural feature of reidispongiolide A is the seven methyl ethers (C7, C13, C15, C19, C21, C27, and C33), which represents a potential simplification with regard to the identification of suitable hydroxy-protecting groups. Nevertheless, these essential hydroxy-protecting groups were selected with considerable

care on the basis of the judicious use of silyl (TES, TBS, TIPS; $TBS = tert$ -butyldimethylsilyl) and PMB ethers. Undoubtedly, the most challenging structural feature of reidispongiolide A is the presence of 15 stereocenters, along with the five E-configured alkenes, which require controlled introduction as the synthetic route unfolded.

We first address the planned synthesis of the stereochemically most complex subunit 12, which corresponds to the C14–C29 southern hemisphere. From a structural perspective, this ketone is characterized by a defined sequence of five contiguous stereocenters, spanning C23 to C29, and a further isolated sequence of three stereocenters at C18, C19, and C21, along with two E-configured alkenes. On the basis of methodology developed by our group, $[14]$ the former stereopentad should be accessible by a boron-mediated anti aldol reaction with the ethyl ketone (S) -14. We also planned to install the other cluster of stereocenters by using our boron aldol methodology, this time with the corresponding methyl ketone (S) -15.

Synthesis of the Southern Hemisphere Region

As outlined in Scheme 3, the assembly of the aldehyde 16, which corresponds to the correctly configured stereopentad of the southern hemisphere segment 12, commenced with a boron-mediated aldol reaction (c -Hex₂BCl, Et₃N) between the ethyl ketone (S) -14^[14a] and the aldehyde (S) -17. Upon standard oxidative workup, the expected 1,3-anti-3,4-anti adduct 18 , which resulted from the intermediate E enolate, was obtained in 90% yield with high selectivity (d.r. 95:5),

Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of reidispongiolide A leading to three key building blocks 10, 12, and 13, whereby subunit 12 is further disconnected to ketones (S) -14 and (S) -15. PMB = p-methoxybenzyl, TES = triethylsilyl, TIPS = triisopropylsilyl.

Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 367 – 387 \odot 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.chemasianj.org 369

Scheme 3. Installation of the C23–C29 stereopentad in aldehyde 16 with ketone (S)-14. a) i) c-Hex₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, $-78 \rightarrow -20$ °C; ii) (S)-17, $-78 \rightarrow -20$ °C; b) Me₄NBH(OAc)₃, MeCN, AcOH, $-35 \rightarrow -20$ °C; c) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, 4-Å molecular sieves, $-20 \rightarrow -10^{\circ}C$; d) NaH, MeI, THF, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$; e) TBAF, THF, room temperature; f) DIBAL-H, TBME, room temperature; g) Dess-Martin periodinane, NaHCO₃, CH_2Cl_2 , room temperature. DDQ=2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone, DIBAL-H = diisobutylaluminum hydride, PMB = para-methoxybenzyl, PMP=para-methoxyphenyl, TBAF=tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride, TBME = tert-butyl methyl ether, $TS =$ transition state.

despite this constituting an apparent mismatched pairing.^[15] The high level of E-enolate π -facial selectivity is governed by the formation of a stabilizing formyl hydrogen bond with the oxygen atom of the PMB ether in the bicyclic aldol transition state shown, which acts in unison with the minimization of allylic strain between the α stereocenter of the enolate and the methyl substituent.^[16] This stereoinduction from the boron enolate is somewhat decreased here by the conformational preference of the aldehyde α stereocenter, which in the case of E-enolate aldol reactions is matched/reinforcing for the formal Felkin–Anh adduct, thus avoiding syn-pentane interactions between the aldehyde substituents and the enolate methyl group.[15]

This aldol adduct 18 provided a suitable substrate for an Evans–Saksena hydroxy-directed reduction^[17] to install the required C23–C29 stereopentad in short order. By employing Me₄NBH(OAc)₃, the desired 1,3-anti diol 19 was obI. Paterson et al.

tained cleanly $(90\%, d.r. > 97:3)$. With the five contiguous stereocenters now secured in a concise manner, differentiation of the hydroxy groups was required. Treatment of the diol 19 under DDQ-mediated oxidative cyclization conditions[18] resulted in the exclusive formation of the corresponding six-membered PMP acetal 20, obtained as a single diastereomer in 97% yield. Methylation of the free hydroxy group (NaH, MeI) in 20 then afforded the methyl ether 21 (95%). Although we were confident of the stereochemical assignment, it was rigorously established by silyl ether cleavage with TBAF to generate the crystalline alcohol 22 (98%), whereby single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis^[10a] indicated the preferred conformation shown, which avoids syn-pentane interactions.

We were now ready to carry out the regioselective reductive opening of the PMP acetal in 21 to produce the alcohol 23. Initially, this transformation proved problematic. The use of DIBAL-H in CH_2Cl_2 gave a single alcohol product. However, this was identified as the undesired secondary C25 alcohol, which suggests that the adjacent methoxy group was directing the reduction in an unfavorable manner. However, when the DIBAL-H reduction was carried out in the ethereal solvent TBME, the regioselective acetal opening was facilitated in the required manner to afford only the primary alcohol 23 (98%). Conceivably, TBME forms a solvent cage around the aluminum hydride reagent, thus increasing its size and leading to preferred complexation by the less hindered PMP acetal oxygen atom at C23, cleavage to the corresponding oxonium ion, and reduction to generate the primary alcohol 23. Dess–Martin oxidation^[19] of 23 then completed the preparation of aldehyde 16 (99%).

At this point, we were ready to perform the chain extension of aldehyde 16 to generate the E enal 24 , as required for the next aldol addition to introduce the remaining three stereocenters in the southern hemisphere segment. As shown in Scheme 4, aldehyde 16 underwent Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons (HWE) olefination efficiently under LiCl/ Et₃N conditions^[20] to afford Weinreb amide 25 (97%, E/Z $> 95:5$). Reduction of 25 with DIBAL-H in THF then provided the E enal 24 (92%), in readiness for a further aldol addition. As the configuration of the C18–C21 stereocluster within reidispongiolide was assigned with confidence in our earlier studies,^[10a] installation of these three centers now required a 1,4-syn-selective boron aldol reaction of methyl ketone (S) -15, combined with a reduction in situ to deliver the $1,3$ -syn diol.^[21] In practice, the TES-substituted ketone 15 (derived from the Roche ester in 84% yield) proved superior to the analogous PMB and DMB (dimethoxybenzyl) systems in terms of facilitating selective deprotection at a later stage. By using $(-)$ -Ipc₂BCl/Et₃N,^[22] a ligand-enhanced addition of ketone (S) -15 to aldehyde 24 proceeded through the bicyclic aldol transition state shown to install the C21 hydroxy-bearing stereocenter, in an analogous fashion to that exploited earlier for the stereopentad assembly. This addition provided the intermediate boron aldolate that was reduced in situ with $LiBH₄$ to give the 1,3-syn diol 26 in

Scheme 4. Synthesis of the C14–C29 subunit 12 with ketone (S)-15. a) (MeO)MeNC(O)CH₂P(O)(OEt)₂, LiCl, Et₃N, MeCN, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$; b) DIBAL-H, THF, -78° C; c) i) (-)-Ipc₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, $-78 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ C; ii) (S)-15, -78°C ; iii) LiBH₄, -78°C ; d) NaH, MeI, THF, 0 °C \rightarrow RT; e) PPTS (cat.), MeOH, room temperature; f) Dess–Martin periodinane, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C; g) MeC(O)CH₂P(O)(OMe)₂, LiCl, Et₃N, MeCN, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$. Ipc=isopinocampheyl, PPTS=pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate.

olefination with dimethyl (2-oxopropyl)phosphonate to afford the E enone 12 (99%, $E/Z > 95:5$). By using this highly scalable and robust reaction sequence, the required C14–C29 subunit 12 was synthesized efficiently on a multigram scale in 35% yield over 13 steps from (S) -14.

The synthesis of the C30–C36 subunit 10 required for the reidispongiolide side chain is shown in Scheme 5. Conven-

Scheme 5. Synthesis of the C30–C36 subunit 10 with ketone (S)-30. a) i) c-Hex₂BCl, Me₂NEt, -20 to 0°C; ii) 3-butenal, $-78 \rightarrow -20$ °C; b) Me₃O·BF₄, Proton sponge, CH₂Cl₂, 0[°]C \rightarrow RT; c) MeLi, Et₂O, -78 \rightarrow -20 °C; d) OsO₄ (cat.), NaIO₄, THF, H₂O, 0°C \rightarrow RT; e) 35, LiHMDS, THF, $-78 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$; then 34, $-78^{\circ}C$; f) I₂ (cat.), CH₂Cl₂, dark, room temperature. Bz=benzoyl, LiHMDS=lithium hexamethyldisilazide.

91% yield, albeit with slightly lower diastereoselectivity (d.r. 86:14) relative to other such one-pot transformations.^[10a, 21] Conveniently, the diastereomers proved readily separable by flash chromatography following methyl ether formation with NaHand MeI, thus leading to isolation of the stereochemically homogeneous C17–C29 subunit 27 in 70% yield. Cleavage of the TES group in 27 was facilitated by catalytic PPTS in MeOH to give the corresponding primary alcohol 28 (92%). Dess–Martin oxidation of alcohol 28 then gave the aldehyde 29 (93%), which was subjected to HWE iently, the route utilized our lactate boron aldol chemistry^[23] to install the required C32/C33 anti relationship. From our earlier studies to determine the absolute configuration of the side chain,[10a] this called for the use of the ethyl ketone (S)-30. Thus, enolization of ketone (S)-30 with c -Hex₂BCl and Me₂NEt followed by addition of a freshly prepared solution of 3-butenal^[24] provided the expected *anti* adduct 31 in 85% yield $(d.r. > 95:5)$ without any detectable isomerization of the sensitive β , γ -unsaturated aldehyde. As in the previous situation, the E-enolate π -facial selectivity is presumably governed by the formation of a stabilizing formyl hydrogen bond,^[16,23c] which now involves the carbonyl oxygen

atom of the benzoate, in the bicyclic aldol transition state shown, along with minimization of allylic strain between the a stereocenter of the enolate and the methyl substituent. Treatment of aldol adduct 31 with $Me₃O·BF₄$ in the presence of Proton sponge then afforded ketone 32 (92%), which underwent methyl lithium addition with concomitant benzoyl cleavage to generate diol 33 (77%). Dihydroxylation and oxidative cleavage in situ of both 1,2-diols in 33 was achieved readily with $OsO₄/NaIO₄$ to provide the aldehyde 34 (65%). At this point, installation of the sensitive N -vinylformamide functionality was required; this can often prove to be a demanding step. By using our established Wittig protocol, as developed in the context of our scytophycin total synthesis, $^{[25]}$ treatment of aldehyde 34 with the ylide obtained from phosphonium salt 35 with LiHMDS delivered the Z alkenyl formamide as the predominant isomer (52%, $Z/E = 60:40$). Subsequent iodine-mediated isomerization then provided the desired E alkenyl formamide 10 cleanly (82%; obtained as a mixture of rotamers by NMR spectroscopic analysis), thus completing the C30–C36 side chain subunit in six steps and 17% overall yield from (S) -30.

Synthesis of the Northern Hemisphere Region

We now chose to prepare the remaining northern hemisphere segment 13 (Scheme 2), which incorporates a PMB ether at C1, as a projected precursor to the required $2E.4E$ dienoate of reidispongiolide. From our earlier work on the aplyronines,[26a] we anticipated that DDQ oxidative conditions would afford the corresponding 2E,4E dienal, which could then be oxidized to the acid in preparation for macrolactonization. Although this approach was pursued first, complications pertaining to the proposed deprotection/oxidation sequence necessitated a revision of our original strategy.

Preparation of the C1–C13 subunit 13 (Scheme 6) began with the epoxide (S) -36,^[27] conveniently prepared in high enantiomeric purity by Jacobsen hydrolytic kinetic resolution.[28] On a multigram scale, the optimized protocol involved activation of the (S,S)-Co–salen catalyst with AcOH at 40° C in a melt of racemic epoxide 36 followed by addition of THF and water to provide, after 16 h at 30 °C, the resolved epoxide (S)-36 in 46% yield with consistently excellent levels of enantioselectivity (99% ee by HPLC). Treatment of (S) -36 with the lithium anion of trimethylsilylacetylene in THF in the presence of BF_3 ·Et₂O afforded the alcohol 37 in 84% yield after desilylation with K_2CO_3 in MeOH.^[27] Subsequent methylation with NaH and MeI in THF then gave methyl ether 38 in 96% yield as a substrate for Negishi carbometalation^[29] to introduce the E vinyl iodide in 39. In practice, treatment of alkyne 38 with trimethylaluminum and zirconocene dichloride in 1,2-dichloroethane at 60° C for 16 h effected regioselective syn addition, and reaction of the resulting vinyl alane with iodine provided the desired E vinyl iodide 39 in 91% yield.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of the two alternative building blocks 13 (C1–C13) and 59 $(C4-C13)$ for the northern hemisphere. a) $(S.S)$ -Co–salen (0.5 mol\%) , AcOH $(1 \text{ mol } \%)$, H₂O, THF, room temperature; b) i) *nBuLi*, HCCTMS, BF_3 ·Et₂O, THF, -78° C; ii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, room temperature; c) NaH, MeI, THF, 0[°]C \rightarrow RT; d) i) AlMe₃, [Cp₂ZrCl₂], DCE, 60 °C; ii) I₂, THF, -20 °C \rightarrow RT; e) CuTC, NMP, room temperature; f) TBAF, THF, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$; g) (COCl)₂, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, -78° C; Et₃N, -78° C \rightarrow RT; h) MeLi, THF, $-78 \rightarrow -50^{\circ}$ C; i) (COCl)₂, DMSO, CH_2Cl_2 , $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$; Et₃N, $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow \text{RT}$; j) i) (-)-Ipc₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, 0 °C; ii) (R)-45, -100 or -78 °C; k) (EtO)₂P(O)CH₂CO₂H, 2,4,6-Cl₃(C₆H₂)COCl, Et₃N, DMAP, PhMe, room temperature; l) Ba(OH)₂, wet THF, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$; m) HF·py, py, THF, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$; n) TEMPO, PhI(OAc)₂, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature; o) MeMgI, THF, $-78 \rightarrow -40$ °C; p) PCC, celite, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C \rightarrow RT. Cp=cyclopentadienyl, DCE= 1,2-dichloroethane, DMAP=4-N,N'-dimethylaminopyridine, DMSO=dimethyl sulfoxide, NMP=1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, PCC=pyridinium chlorochromate, $py = pyridine$, salen = N , N' -ethylenebis(salicylideneiminato), TBDPS = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl, TC = thiophene-2-carboxylate, $TEMPO = 4$ -amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl, TMS=trimethylsilyl.

To access the 2E,4E diene 40 with a PMB ether substituent at C1, a Stille-type cross-coupling with the vinyl stannane 41[30] was examined. Whereas standard Pd-mediated conditions proved unsatisfactory, $[31]$ the use of the Liebeskind protocol, which employs copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC) in NMP at ambient temperature, was successful.^[32] After extensive optimization, slow addition of stannane 41 over 2–3 h to vinyl iodide 39 and CuTC provided the desired 2E,4E diene 40 in 89% yield. The slow addition was found to be critical to minimize homocoupling of the stannane component 41. Following the 2E,4E diene installation, elaboration to the methyl ketone 42 was required. TBDPS ether cleavage of 40 with TBAF and Swern oxidation of the resulting alcohol 43 provided the aldehyde 44 in high yield (86%). Addition of methyl lithium to aldehyde 44 in THF at -50° C gave the corresponding alcohol, and a further Swern oxidation then afforded the methyl ketone 42 (85%), in readiness for the projected aldol coupling with the aldehyde (R) -45 to give the required adduct 46.

Initially, introduction of the required C11 hydroxy stereocenter in 46 was envisaged to arise by invoking Felkin–Anh selectivity from aldehyde (R) -45 under Mukaiyama aldol conditions (Scheme 7).^[33] Despite considerable experimenta-

Scheme 7. Attempted Mukaiyama aldol coupling of ketone 42. a) LDA, TMSCl, Et₃N, THF, -78° C; b) BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, -78° C; alternative Lewis acids examined include TiCl₄, TiCl₂(OiPr)₂, Ti(OiPr)₄, B(C₆F₅)₃, $ZnI₂$. LDA = lithium diisopropylamide.

tion with various Lewis acids, the only product obtained from the attempted reaction of silyl enol ether 47 with aldehyde (R) -45 was the eliminated ketone 48, with no trace of aldol adducts. With disappointing results obtained even under mild conditions, an alternative was sought by using the corresponding boron-mediated aldol protocol (Scheme 6). In the light of the inherent 1,5-anti stereoinduction that the β -methoxy group in ketone 42 would impart in a boron aldol reaction, $[34]$ it proved necessary to employ reagent control to overturn the substrate-based induction.^[15,22] Thus, enolization of the methyl ketone 42 with $(-)$ -Ipc₂BCl/ Et₃N at 0° C and reaction with aldehyde (R)-45 at -100° C

for 10 min provided the desired 1,5-syn aldol adduct 46 and its C11 epimer 49 in 56 and 30% yield, respectively. Of note are the short reaction time and low temperature required in this reaction, a result of the extraordinary propensity of the intermediate boron aldolate to undergo rapid reduction to the 1,3-diol.

It now remained to complete the C1–C13 aldehyde 13 by formation of the dihydropyranone and adjustment of the oxidation state at the C13 terminus. By utilizing Yamaguchi conditions,^[35] the major β -hydroxy ketone 46 was esterified with diethylphosphonoacetic acid to provide the intermediate phosphonoacetate, followed by exposure to activated $Ba(OH)$ ₂ in wet THF^[36] to result in an intramolecular HWE olefination to afford the dihydropyranone 50 in 75% yield. Cleavage of the primary TBS ether in 50 with HF·py then gave the primary alcohol 51 (99%). Finally, oxidation with TEMPO/PhI $(OAc)_2^{[37]}$ provided the northern hemisphere aldehyde 13 (69%).

We also pursued an alternative strategy, whereby the stereodefined 2E,4E-dienoate region of the northern hemisphere was introduced after the pivotal C13–C14 bond formation by aldol coupling with the southern hemisphere ketone 12. As shown in Scheme 6 (right column), this commenced with the vinyl iodide 39 already used in the first approach, which was elaborated through a similar sequence involving intermediates 52 and 53 to afford the methyl ketone 54 (55% over 4 steps). By using reagent control with $(-)$ -Ipc₂BCl, the boron aldol coupling of 54 with the aldehyde (R) -45 gave the desired adduct 55 (43%) preferentially, along with the minor C11 epimer 56 (27%), which was removed chromatographically. Esterification of the major β hydroxy ketone 55 with diethylphosphonoacetic acid and an intramolecular HWE olefination mediated by $Ba(OH)$ ₂ then afforded the dihydropyranone 57 in 73% yield. TBS ether cleavage with HF·py and oxidation of the resulting alcohol 58 with TEMPO/PhI(OAc), completed the C4–C13 subunit 59 in 12 steps and 10% overall yield from (S) -36.

Assembly of the Building Blocks

With the key building blocks in hand, attention was now focused on their union, which began with the exploration of a Mukaiyama aldol reaction^[33] of 12 and the truncated model aldehyde 60 to install the C13 stereocenter by relying on Felkin–Anh induction (Scheme 8). Enolization of the methyl ketone 12 with LiHMDS at -78° C and trapping with TMSCl provided the silyl enol ether 61. Exposure of 61 and model aldehyde 60 to BF₃·Et₂O in CH₂Cl₂ at -95° C then gave a separable 75:25 mixture of epimeric aldol adducts. Conveniently, the C13 configuration could be determined by using our NMR library of fragments,[10b] as previously employed in the stereochemical assignment of reidispongiolide A. The major adduct 62 (assumed to be the 1,2 syn Felkin–Anh product) was subjected to Evans–Saksena reduction^[17] with Me₄NBH(OAc)₃ to give the 1,3-*anti* diol $(d.r. > 95:5)$ followed by O-methylation with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate to afford the methyl ether 63. Analo-

Scheme 8. Mukaiyama aldol coupling between model aldehyde 60 (C8– C13) and ketone 12 (C14–C29). a) LDA, TMSCl, Et₃N, THF, -78° C; b) $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, CaH_2 , CH_2Cl_2 , $-95 \rightarrow -78 \cdot C$; c) $Me_4NBH(OAc)_3$, MeCN, AcOH, -30°C ; d) Me₃O·BF₄, Proton sponge, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C.

gous chemistry was performed in parallel on the minor aldol adduct 64 to provide the methyl ether 65. The 1 H NMR spectra of methyl ethers 63 and 65 were then compared with those for the library of truncated compounds 66–69, as synthesized previously (Scheme 9).^[10b] The major product 63

Scheme 9. Reidispongiolide C8–C16 fragment library 66–69 of established stereochemistry for ¹H NMR spectroscopic comparison.

correlated with the 13S,15S stereoisomer 66, whereas the minor product 65 matched best with the $13R,15R$ stereoisomer 67.

We now applied these Mukaiyama aldol conditions to the coupling of the silyl enol ether 61 and the C1–C13 aldehyde 13 with the $2E,4E$ -diene terminus (Scheme 10). This led to the formation of a 77:23 mixture of the epimeric adducts 70 and 71 in 73% yield. The mixture was advanced through the Evans–Saksena reduction and methyl ether formation to lead to the separable diastereomers 72 (major) and 73 (minor). Again, detailed comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of these compounds with those of the fragment library 66– 69 enabled the confident assignment of the configuration at C13 and C15.

At this point, we were ready to access the seco acid 74 and explore the crucial macrolactonization step to install the 26-membered macrolide core. Exposure of 72 to DDQ led to oxidative conversion^[26a] into the dienal 75 with concomitant cleavage of the PMB ether at C25 in 91% yield. Unexpectedly, NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated that the dienal had been produced as an inseparable 80:20 mixture of E/Z isomers about the trisubstituted alkene, which indicates that some isomerization had occurred under the conditions of reaction. A similar step in our aplyronine work had not been problematic,[26b] thus indicating that the fault here lay with the extra methyl substitution at C5 in the reidispongiolide series. Oxidation under buffered $NaClO₂$ conditions then gave the seco acid 74 (95%, again as an inseparable 80:20 mixture of double-bond isomers). With the available material, we could now explore the macrolactonization step, performed under established Yamaguchi conditions.[35] After some optimization, we found that formation of the mixed anhydride and its subsequent slow addition to a solution of DMAP in toluene at ambient temperature generated the 26 membered macrolide core 76 in 35% yield. This was accompanied by a separable minor macrolactone isomer 77, isolated in 10% yield, from cyclization of the compound with 4Zalkene geometry. Gratifyingly, comparison of the ¹H and $13C$ NMR spectra of the major macrolactone 76 with those for reidispongiolide $A^{[7]}$ indicated an excellent agreement in the C1–C25 region, thus providing timely support for our structural assignment.

In view of the troublesome diene isomerization experienced in this initial approach, we next examined construction of the macrolide core 76 by using aldol coupling between the C4–C13 aldehyde 59 and the silyl enol ether 61 (Scheme 11). As before, the Mukaiyama aldol reaction afforded a 69% yield of a 75:25 mixture of adducts in favor of the required 13S adduct 78, whereas Evans–Saksena reduction generated the 1,3-anti diol 79 (95%). Methyl ether formation with $Me₃O·BF₄$ (97%) then enabled chromatographic removal of the minor diastereomer arising from the aldol step to provide stereochemically homogeneous 80 (49% after separation from 81). In preparation for macrocycle formation, oxidative cleavage of the C25 PMB ether in 80 with DDQ then gave 82 (74%). Installation of a suitable C1–C3 linker subunit was now needed, and the use of the vinyl

Scheme 10. Mukaiyama aldol coupling between 13 (C1-C13) and 61 (C14-C29) followed by elaboration to macrolide core 76. a) $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, CaH₂, CH_2Cl_2 , $-95 \rightarrow -78^{\circ}\text{C}$; b) Me₄NBH(OAc)₃, MeCN, AcOH, $-30 \rightarrow -20^{\circ}\text{C}$; c) Me₃O·BF₄, Proton sponge, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C \rightarrow RT; d) DDQ, pH 7 buffer, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C; e) NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄, tBuOH, H₂O, room temperature; f) i) 2,4,6-Cl₃(C₆H₂)COCl, Et₃N, PhMe, room temperature; ii) DMAP, PhMe, room temperature.

stannane 83^[38] was examined. In principle, the 26-membered macrolide could be constructed by using either an intramolecular Stille cross-coupling reaction or, as before, a more conventional macrolactonization. Initial studies pursued the former option and focused on the esterification of the C25 hydroxy group in 82 with the acid 83, but this led to degradation and/or recovery of starting materials under a variety of conditions, including the Yamaguchi protocol. Gratifyingly, reversing the order of this coupling sequence proved successful. In practice, Pd-mediated Stille coupling[31] of vinyl stannane 83 with the vinyl iodide 82 installed the $2E,4E$ diene in seco acid 84 in 86% yield without any detectable attendant isomerization of the alkenes. The corresponding methyl ester 85 was also prepared to facilitate characterization at this stage. Gratifyingly, by using our previously developed Yamaguchi macrolactonization conditions with pure seco acid 84, the desired 26-membered macrolactone 76 was now obtained cleanly in an improved 59% yield. Cleavage of the C29 TIPS ether in 76 with HF·py and Dess–Martin

oxidation of the resulting alcohol 86 then provided the macrocyclic aldehyde 11 (56%), which corresponds to the much anticipated C1–C29 subunit (see Scheme 2), in preparation for the final extension of the side chain.

Attention was now directed to the challenging introduction of the full side chain of reidispongiolide A, thus incorporating the sensitive N-vinylformamide terminus. We initially rehearsed this endgame by using a truncated version of the valuable aldehyde 11 in combination with the C30– C36 methyl ketone 10 (Scheme 12). Thus, oxidation of the alcohol 22 by Dess–Martin periodinane afforded the corresponding aldehyde 87. On the basis of our work on the aplyronines,[39] we decided to examine the use of a boron aldol coupling to install the complete reidispongiolide side chain under mild conditions. After careful optimization, we were able to perform this delicate aldol coupling by using c - $Hex₂BCI/Et₃N$ for enolization of 10 followed by enolate addition to the aldehyde 87 to lead to clean formation of a single epimer at C29 in adduct 88 (78%, d.r. > 95:5). The

Scheme 11. Mukaiyama aldol coupling between 59 (C4–C13) and 61 (C14–C29) followed by elaboration to macrocyclic aldehyde 11. a) BF₃·OEt₂, CaH₂, CH_2Cl_2 , -100 °C; b) Me₄NBH(OAc)₃, MeCN, AcOH, $-30 \rightarrow -20$ °C; c) Me₃O·BF₄, Proton sponge, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C \rightarrow RT; d) DDQ, pH 7 buffer, CH₂Cl₂, $0^{\circ}C$; e) [Pd₂(dba)₃], iPr₂NEt, NMP, room temperature; f) TMSCHN₂, MeOH, room temperature; g) i) 2,4,6-Cl₃(C₆H₂)COCl, Et₃N, PhMe, room temperature; ii) DMAP, PhMe, room temperature; h) HF-py, py, THF, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$; i) Dess–Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, $0^{\circ}C \rightarrow RT$. dba=dibenzylideneacetone.

C29 configuration was determined as indicated with the advanced Mosher method.^[40] We attribute this formally anti-Felkin result primarily to the high π -facial bias of the boron enolate, which invokes 1,5-anti stereoinduction arising from the β -methoxy group in the ketone component.^[34]

Completion of the Total Synthesis

At last, we were now ready to perform the real aldol coupling with the precious macrocyclic aldehyde 11, followed by controlled dehydration of the resulting β -hydroxy ketone and subsequent 1,4-reduction (Scheme 13). Under similar conditions to those above, enolization of the methyl ketone 10 gave the dicylohexylboron enolate, which was then added to the aldehyde 11 in diethyl ether. Following a mild, nonoxidative workup, this afforded a single aldol adduct 89 in

70% yield with the configuration of the temporary C29 stereocenter assigned by analogy with that in the model system 88. Gratifyingly, controlled dehydration of this β -hydroxy ketone 89 with Burgess reagent $(Et₃NSO₂NCO₃Me)^[41]$ afforded the E enone 90 cleanly (88%). At this stage, all that was required was controlled reduction of the enone without competing reaction of the dienoate. After exploring conditions in appropriate model systems, we identified the Stryker reduction protocol^[42] to be the most promising. Thus, treatment of enone 90 with $[Ph_3PCuH]_6$ led to clean 1,4-reduction to give $(-)$ -reidispongiolide A (5) in 77% yield (after HPLC purification to remove traces of triphenylphosphine oxide). To our satisfaction, all spectroscopic data (¹H and 13C NMR, IR, MS) for the synthetic material were in excellent agreement with those reported for natural reidispongiolide $A^{[7]}$ and correlated with those of an authentic

Scheme 12. Boron aldol coupling between 10 (C23–C29) and model aldehyde 87 (C30–C36) for introduction of the full reidispongiolide side chain. a) Dess-Martin periodinane, NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature; b) i) c-Hex₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, 0 °C; ii) 87, $-78 \rightarrow RT$.

sample (provided by Professor D'Auria), including HPLC comparison.[43] As reidispongiolide A has a relatively low magnitude of specific rotation $(|\alpha|_D = -10.0$ (c=0.02, MeOH); compare with lit^[7]: $[\alpha]_D = -4.8$), we also carried out a chiroptical correlation with circular dichroism spectra, thereby conclusively defining the relative and absolute configuration. This work also provides strong evidence for the configurational assignment of reidispongiolide B (6) and the sphinxolide congeners (1–4) (Scheme 1).

Conclusions

We have completed a stereocontrolled total synthesis of $(-)$ -reidispongiolide A that proceeds in 1.1% overall yield with a longest linear sequence of 25 steps from (S) -14. This unequivocally established its relative and absolute configuration as depicted in structure 5, in agreement with our earlier stereochemical analysis^[10b] and the X-ray crystal structure of the reidispongiolide–actin complex reported recently by Rayment and co-workers.[13] Notably, this constitutes the first chemical synthesis of any member of the reidispongiolide/sphinxolide family of complex marine macrolides. Key transformations include a challenging Mukaiyama fragmentcoupling reaction to introduce the C13 stereocenter, a Stille cross-coupling to install the 2E,4E dienoate in a stereodefined manner, a Yamaguchi macrolactonization to construct the 26-membered macrolide, and a late-stage boron aldol reaction to introduce the full side chain, which contains the sensitive N-vinylformamide. Furthermore, the effectiveness of our versatile boron aldol methodology allowed the requisite stereochemical motifs in the key subunits 10, 12, and 13 to be installed efficiently. Importantly, this modular, convergent synthesis should prove amenable to the production of

Scheme 13. Completion of the total synthesis of $(-)$ -reidispongiolide A. i) c-Hex₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, $-10 \rightarrow 0$ °C; ii) 11, $-78 \rightarrow 0$ °C; b) $Et_3NSO_2NCO_2Me$, THF, room temperature; c) $[Ph_3PCuH]_6$, PhMe, H₂O, room temperature.

other congeners of the reidispongiolides and sphinxolides, together with novel analogues of these potent actin-binding agents, thus enabling extensive exploration of their anticancer properties. In combination with the available X-ray structural data on actin-bound reidispongiolide and related marine macrolides, the design of simplified analogues with tailored functional properties can also be envisaged.^[9]

Experimental Section

See the Supporting Information for details of instrumentation, purification of reagents and solvents, and chromatography. All non-aqueous reactions were performed under an atmosphere of argon with oven-dried apparatus and standard techniques for handling air-sensitive materials.

18: A solution of (S) -14 (2.20 g, 10.6 mmol) in Et₂O (20 mL) was added to a cold $(-78^{\circ}C)$, stirred solution of dicyclohexylboron chloride (2.70 mL, 12.7 mmol) and Et₃N (2.35 mL, 16.9 mmol) in dry Et₂O (25.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -20° C for 1.5 h before recooling to -78 °C, followed by addition of (S)-17 (5.07 g, 20.7 mmol) as a solution in Et₂O (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at -78° C for 3 h and then at -20° C for 16 h. The resultant solution was partitioned between Et₂O (100 mL) and pH 7 buffer (100 mL), and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $Et₂O$ (3 × 50 mL), and the combined organic phases were concentrated and suspended in MeOH(20 mL) and pH7 buffer (20 mL) before being cooled to 0°C. H₂O₂ (30% v/v , 7 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h. It was then poured onto water, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $Et₂O$ (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried (MgSO4), and concentrated in vacuo. Analysis of the crude product mixture indicated 18 was obtained with 95:5 d.r. Flash chromatography $(5 \rightarrow$ 10% EtOAc/PE; PE=petroleum ether) afforded 18 (4.59 g, 90%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.13 (10% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +17.5$ (c=1.5, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3500$, 2940, 1713, 1462 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.20 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.85 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.41 $(d, J=11.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, CH_aH_bAr), 4.37 (d, J=11.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, CH_aH_bAr), 3.83$ (dd, $J=10.0$, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.78 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.75 (dd, $J=9.9$, 4.6 Hz, 1 H, H_{29b}), 3.64–3.61 (m, 2 H, H_{23a}+H₂₇), 3.50 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, OH) 3.42 (dd, J=8.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H, H_{23b}), 3.09-3.03 (m, 2H, H₂₆+H₂₄), 1.80 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.14–1.03 ppm (m, 30H, $MeC_{28} + MeC_{26} + MeC_{24} +$ $Si(CH(CH_3)_2)_3+SiCH_3);$ ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta=217.7, 159.2,$ 130.2, 129.2 (2C), 113.7 (2C), 77.8, 73.0, 72.3, 65.7, 55.2, 49.9, 46.5, 36.7, 18.0 (6 C), 15.2, 13.5, 13.4, 11.8 ppm (3 C); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{49}O_5Si$: 481.3344 [M+H]⁺; found: 481.3347. The assigned S configuration of the C27 OH center was determined by using the advanced Mosher method^[40] following formation of the diastereomeric (R) - and (S)-MTPA esters.

19: A suspension of $Me₄NBH(OAc)$, (740 mg, 3.13 mmol) in MeCN/ AcOH(1:1, 4 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The resulting clear solution was cooled to -35° C, and 18 (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) was added as a solution in MeCN (1.0 mL). After 3 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to -20° C and stored for 16 h (freezer), then warmed to room temperature for 2 h. Decomplexation of the boron was facilitated by vigorous stirring with saturated aqueous sodium/potassium tartrate (10 mL) and $NaHCO₃$ (10 mL) for 1 h at room temperature. The aqueous phase was then extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (10 \rightarrow 20% EtOAc/PE) afforded 19 (91 mg, 90%, >97:3 d.r.) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.08 (10% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +2.3$ (c=1.0, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3421$, 2930, 1514, 1461 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.67 (br s, 1H, OH), 4.49 (d, $J=11.6$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.45 (d, $J=11.6$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.29 (br s, 1H, OH), 3.86 (dd, $J=9.7$, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.83 (app d, $J=10.0$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.80 (s, 3H, ArO*Me*), 3.76 (dd, $J=9.7$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H_{29b}), 3.65 (dd, $J=8.9$, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H_{23a}), 3.56 (dd, $J=8.6$, 3.1 Hz, 1 H, H₂₇), 3.46 (dd, $J=8.9$, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, H_{23b}), 2.02 (m, 1 H, H₂₈), 1.94 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 1.80 (m, 1H, H₂₆), 1.15–1.09 (m, 3H, Si(CH)₃), 1.08– 1.03 (m, 21 H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃ + MeC₂₆), 0.86 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, MeC₂₄), 0.84 ppm (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.1, 130.6, 129.4 (2 C), 113.7 (2 C), 82.2, 74.1, 73.6, 72.9, 69.5, 55.2, 37.5, 36.5, 34.8, 18.0 (6C), 13.5, 13.4, 11.7 (3C), 10.4 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{51}O_5Si$: 483.3500 $[M+H]^+$; found: 483.3503. The assigned 1,3-anti diol stereochemistry was confirmed by the Rychnovsky 13C NMR spectroscopic method^[44] following formation of the corresponding acetonide (2,2-dimethoxypropane, PPTS).

20: A solution of 19 (1.10 g, 2.28 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) was added to a cold $(-20^{\circ}C)$, stirred solution of powdered activated 4-Å molecular sieves (\approx 100 mg) and DDQ (780 mg, 3.42 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at -20° C for 10 min before being warmed to -10° C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and Et₂O (3×30 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with NaHCO₃ $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatogra-

phy (10% EtOAc/PE) afforded 20 (1.06 g, 97%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.20 (10% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +31.9$ (c=1.15, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3509, 2865, 1518, 1460 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.37$ (d, $J=8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, $J=8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.50 (s, 1H, O₂CHAr), 4.11 (dd, $J=11.1$, 4.6 Hz, 1H, H_{23a}), 4.02 (dd, $J=10.2$, 1.6 Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.95 (dd, $J=9.7$, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.85 (dd, $J=9.7$, 2.9 Hz, 1H, H_{29b}), 3.79 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.54 (app t, $J=11.1$ Hz, 1H, H_{23b}), 3.47 (m, 1H, H₂₇), 3.22 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H, OH), 2.10 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 1.98 (app dquint, $J=7.2$, 1.5 Hz, 1H, H₂₆), 1.82 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.14 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 1.15–1.09 (m, 3H, Si(CH)₃), 1.08–1.03 (m, 18H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃), 1.02 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.75 ppm (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.8, 131.4, 127.4 (2C), 113.5 (2C), 101.0, 82.3, 76.4, 73.2, 65.8, 55.3, 36.8, 36.5, 30.3, 18.0 (6 C), 15.4, 12.0, 11.8 (3 C), 10.5 ppm; HRMS (ES +): m/z calcd for C₂₇H₄₉O₅Si: 481.3344 $[M+H]^+$; found: 481.3348. The assigned PMP acetal configuration was determined by NOE analysis.

21: A solution of 20 (4.88 g, 10.15 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added to a cold (0 $^{\circ}$ C), stirred suspension of NaH (60% dispersion in oil, 4.06 g, 101.5 mmol) in THF (150 mL). After 30 min, MeI (9.48 mL, 152.3 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature for 18 h before the reaction was quenched with MeOH(30 mL). The reaction mixture was poured into brine (100 mL), the aqueous phases were extracted with Et₂O (3×100 mL), and the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (5% EtOAc/PE) afforded 21 (4.77 g, 95%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.30 (10% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +28.9 (c = 1.5, CHCl₃); IR (neat): \tilde{v} = 2941, 2666, 1516, 1461 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.42 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.89 (d, $J=8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.47 (s, 1H, O₂CHAr), 4.12 (dd, $J=11.1$, 4.6 Hz, 1H, H_{23a}), 3.84 (dd, $J=9.6$, 6.5 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.81 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.77 (app d, $J=10.0$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.53 (app t, $J=$ 11.1 Hz, 1 H, H_{23b}), 3.47 (dd, $J=9.6$, 7.4 Hz, 1 H, H_{29b}), 3.44 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.22 (app d, $J=9.9$ Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.10–1.99 (m, 3H, H₂₄+H₂₆+ H₂₈), 1.11–1.03 (m, 24H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃+Si(CH)₃+MeC₂₈), 0.95 (d, J= 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.74 ppm (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 159.8, 131.7, 127.3 \ (2 \text{ C}), 113.5 \ (2 \text{ C}), 100.8, 84.8,$ 82.0, 73.4, 64.1, 61.6, 55.3, 38.2, 36.7, 30.4, 18.1 (7C), 16.1, 11.9 (3C), 10.2 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₂₈H₅₁O₅Si: 495.3500 [M+H]⁺; found: 495.3507.

22: Pyridinium hydrofluoride (0.4 mL) prebuffered with pyridine (0.80 mL) was added to a stirred solution of 21 (200 mg, 0.404 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL) at 0° C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 24 h before the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (10 mL). The reaction mixture was then diluted with Et_2O (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (2×10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (50% EtOAc/PE) gave 22 (134 mg, 98%) as white needles. R_f : 0.40 (50% EtOAc/hexane); m.p.: $104-105^{\circ}\text{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +72.1$ (c=0.19, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3439, 2931, 1615, 1518 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.40 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.89 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.46 (s, 1H, O₂CHAr), 4.13 (dd, J=11.1, 4.6 Hz, 1H, H_{23a}), 3.91 (app d, J= 11.1 Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.81 (s, 3H, ArO*Me*), 3.77 (dd, $J=10.1$, 1.5 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.58–3.51 (m, 2H, H_{23b} + H_{27}), 3.49 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.30 (dd, $J=10.1$, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, H_{29b}), 2.89 (br d, $J=8.5$ Hz, 1 H, OH), 2.09 (m, 1 H, H_{24}), 2.01 (m, 1H, H₂₆), 1.87 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.21 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 0.91 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.76 ppm (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 159.9, 131.5, 127.3 \ (2 \text{ C}), 113.6 \ (2 \text{ C}), 100.9, 87.6,$ 81.6, 73.4, 64.2, 62.1, 55.3, 37.2, 35.6, 30.4, 16.2, 12.0, 10.1 ppm; HRMS (EI+): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}O_5Na$: 361.1985 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 361.1991. 23: A solution of DIBAL-H (24.1 mL, 1 m in CH₂Cl₂, 24.1 mmol) in TBME (60 mL) was added to a stirred solution of 21 (4.77 g, 9.64 mmol) in dry TBME (100 mL) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min before the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium/potassium tartrate (50 mL) at 0° C. Decomplexation of the aluminum was facilitated by stirring with further saturated aqueous sodium/potassium tartrate (50 mL) for 45 min at room temperature before dilution with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL). The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were then washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo to afford 23 (4.77 g, 98%) as a colorless oil. A small sample was purified by flash chromatography (20% EtOAc/ hexane) for characterization purposes. R_f : 0.25 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D = +13.1$ (c=0.63, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu} = 3500$, 2930, 1463 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.63 (d, $J=10.6$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.50 (d, $J=10.6$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.80 (s, 3H, Ar-OMe), 3.78 (dd, $J=9.7$, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.67–3.60 (m, 4H, H_{29b} + H_{25} + H_{23a} + H_{23b}), 3.44 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.13 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.2 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.03 (m, 1H, H₂₆), 1.95–1.89 (m, 2H, H₂₄+ H₂₈), 1.14–1.05 (m, 21 H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃ + Si(CH)₃), 1.01 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 6H, $MeC_{26} + MeC_{28}$), 0.90 ppm (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 159.2, 130.9, 129.3$ (2C), 113.8 (2C), 86.0, 83.1, 73.9, 66.7, 65.0, 60.0, 55.3, 38.9, 38.5, 38.3, 18.1 (6 C), 14.9, 14.7, 12.0 ppm (4 C); HRMS (ES +): m/z calcd for C₂₈H₅₃O₅Si: 497.3657 [M + H]⁺; found: 497.3663.

16: A solution of **23** (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) was added to a stirred suspension of Dess–Martin periodinane (26 mg, 0.06 mmol) and pyridine (16 μ L, 0.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL). After 1.5 h, hexane (5 mL) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred for a further 15 min. The solid material was filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (15% EtOAc/PE) afforded 16 (10 mg, 99%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.50 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $\left[\alpha\right]_D =$ -5.3 (c=0.40, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2941$, 2866, 1725, 1514, 1463 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.79 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 7.23 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.55 (d, $J=10.7$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.44 (d, $J=10.7$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.94 (dd, $J=7.7$, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H_{25}), 3.80 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.78 (dd, J = 9.7, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.61 (dd, $J=9.7$, 6.7 Hz, 1H, H_{29b}), 3.44 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.18 (dd, $J=7.9$, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.68 (app dquint, J = 7.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H, H₂₄), 2.04 (m, 1H, H₂₆), 1.92 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.12–1.05 (m, 21H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃+Si(CH)₃), 1.05 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 1.01 ppm (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 205.0, 159.1, 130.8, 129.0 (2C), 113.7 (2C), 85.3, 79.9, 77.2, 73.4, 64.9, 60.1, 55.3, 50.3, 38.5, 18.1 (6C), 15.1, 12.0 (3C), 11.7, 11.5 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{28}H_{54}O_5SiN$: 512.3766 $[M+NH_4]^+$; found: 512.3771.

25: A solution of diethyl (N-methoxy-N-methyl-carbomoylmethyl) phosphonate (167 μ L, 0.81 mmol), Et₃N (113 μ L, 0.81 mmol), and 16 (160 mg, 0.32 mmol) in MeCN (8.0 mL) was added to a cold (0 \textdegree C), stirred suspension of LiCl (34 mg, 0.81 mmol) in MeCN (4.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 18 h, then it was partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(20 \rightarrow 30\% \text{ EtOAc/PE})$ afforded 25 (180 mg, 97%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.25 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $[a]_D = -19.6$ (c=1.4, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} =$ 2938, 1664, 1634, 1514 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.25 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.11 (dd, $J=15.4$, 8.7 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 6.84 (d, $J=8.6$, 2H, Ar), 6.43 (d, $J=15.4$ Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.50 (d, $J=10.7$ Hz, 1H, CH_4H_bAr), 4.46 (d, $J=10.7$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.79 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.79 (dd, $J=$ 9.3, 5.3 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.63 (s, 3H, MeNOMe), 3.61-3.57 (m, 2H, H_{29b}+ H25), 3.40 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.23 (s, 3H, MeNOMe), 3.14 (dd, J=7.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.64 (app sext, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H, H₂₄), 1.99 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.92 (app dquint, $J=7.1$, 2.2 Hz, 1H, H₂₆), 1.12–1.04 (m, 24 H, Si(CH- $(CH_3)_2$ ₃ + Si(CH)₃ + MeC₂₄), 1.03 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 0.96 ppm (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, MeC_{26}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 166.6, 158.9, 150 .8, 131.4, 128.9 (2C), 118.4, 113.6 (2C), 85.4, 82.1, 73.6, 64.9, 61.6, 60.1, 55.3, 41.4, 38.5, 38.3, 32.2, 18.1 (6 C), 17.1, 15.3, 12.0 (3 C), 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₃₂H₅₈NO₆Si: 580.4028 [M+H]⁺; found: 580.4031.

24: DIBAL-H $(35 \mu L, 1.5 \text{m}$ solution in THF, 0.05 mmol) was added to a cold (-78^oC) , stirred solution of 25 (30 mg, 0.05 mmol) in THF (0.4 mL). After a further 10 min, the reaction was quenched by vigorous stirring with saturated aqueous sodium/potassium tartrate $(2 mL)$ at room temperature for 1 h. After dilution with CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), the organic phase was separated, and the aqueous layer was subsequently extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with

brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (10% EtOAc/PE) afforded 24 (24 mg, 92%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.20 (10% EtOAc/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.48$ (d, $J =$ 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₁), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.95 (dd, J = 15.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H_{23}), 6.87 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.13 (dd, $J=15.7, 7.7$ Hz, 1H, H_{22}), 4.56 (d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.45 (d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.80 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.77 (dd, $J=9.7$, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.66–3.60 (m, 2H, H_{25} + H_{29b}), 3.41 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.13 (dd, J=7.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H_{27}), 2.71 (app sext, $J=6.9$ Hz, 1H, H_{24}), 1.98 (m, 1H, H_{28}), 1.91 (m, 1H, H_{26}), 1.13–1.05 (m, 24H, $Si(CH(CH_3)_2)_3 + Si(CH)_3 + MeC_{24})$, 1.01 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.99 ppm (d, J=7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 194.1, 161.8, 159.1, 132.4, 129.1 (2C), 127.4, 113.8 (2C), 85.4, 81.9, 73.8, 65.0, 60.0, 55.2, 41.8, 38.9, 38.5, 18.0 (6C), 16.8, 15.1, 12.0 (3C), 11.9 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₃₀H₅₃O₅Si 521.3657: [M+H]⁺; found: 521.3664.

26: A solution of (S) -15 (1.50 g, 6.94 mmol) in Et₂O (30 mL) was added to a cold $(-78 °C)$, stirred solution of $(-)$ -Ipc₂BCl (2.45 g, 7.63 mmol) and Et₃N (1.25 mL, 9.02 mmol) in dry Et₂O (50 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0° C for 1 h before recooling to -78° C followed by addition of 24 (1.81 g, 3.47 mmol) in Et₂O (30 mL). After the mixture was stirred at -78° C for 1.5 h, LiBH₄ (8.67 mL, 2M in THF, 17.4 mmol) was added. After a further 30 min, the reaction mixture was partitioned between Et_2O (250 mL) and saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (250 mL), the organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3×50 mL). The combined organic phases were concentrated and suspended in MeOH (70 mL) and NaOH (10 mol%, 70 mL) before being cooled to 0°C. H₂O₂ (30% v/v , 35 mL) was added dropwise, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature for 30 min then poured into water, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O $(3 \times 130 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Analysis of the crude product mixture indicated 26 was obtained with 6:1 d.r. Flash chromatography (10 \rightarrow 20% EtOAc/PE) afforded 26 (2.32 g, 91%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.10 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $[a]_D = -8.9$ (c=1.0, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3417$, 2956, 1514 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.27 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.85 $(d, J=8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}, \text{ Ar})$ 5.76 $(dd, J=15.5, 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}, \text{ H}_{23}),$ 5.53 $(dd, J=$ 15.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H, H_{22}), 4.55 (d, $J=11.0$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.49 (d, $J=$ 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.33 (m, 1 H, H₂₁), 4.03 (m, 1 H, H₁₉), 3.84 (d, $J=3.8$ Hz, 1H, OH), 3.79 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.77 (dd, $J=9.6$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.71 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H_{29b}), 3.63–3.59 (m, 2H, H_{17a} + H_{17b}), 3.46 (dd, $J=6.7$, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.11 (dd, $J=7.1$, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.42 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 1.95 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.90 (m, 1H, H₂₆), 1.74 (m, 1H, H₁₈), 1.66 (app dt, $J=14.1$, 10.3 Hz, 1H, H_{20a}), 1.38 (app dt, $J=14.1, 2.1$ Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.12–1.05 (m, 21H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃+Si(CH₁)₃), 1.02 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}), 1.02 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.96 (t, $J=8.0$ Hz, 9H, Si(CH₂CH₃)₃), 0.95 (m, 3H, MeC_{26}) 0.85 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{18}), 0.61 ppm (q, J=8.0 Hz, 6H, Si(CH₂CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 158.8, 134.2, 132.5, 131.7, 128.7 \ (2 \text{ C}), 113.6 \ (2 \text{ C}),$ 85.5, 82.5, 75.8, 73.8, 73.4, 67.4, 65.0, 60.0, 55.2, 41.0, 39.9, 39.5, 38.5, 38.3, 18.1 (6C), 17.8, 15.3, 12.0 (3C), 11.6, 11.0, 6.7 (3C), 4.2 ppm (3C); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₄₁H₈₂O₇Si₂N: 756.5624 [$M + NH₄$]⁺; found: 756.5637.

27: A solution of 26 (2.32 g, 3.14 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added to a cold $(0^{\circ}C)$, stirred suspension of NaH $(1.26 \text{ g}, 60\%$ in mineral oil, 31.4 mmol) in THF (100 mL). After 30 min, MeI (1.95 mL, 31.4 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 4 h, after which the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (200 mL) and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3×200 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(3 \rightarrow 5\%$ EtOAc/PE) afforded 27 (1.69 g, 70%) as a colorless oil (385 mg of the minor diastereomer). R_f : 0.62 (20%) EtOAc/hexane) (minor diastereomer: R_f : 0.58 (20% EtOAc/hexane)); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -3.6$ (c=0.14, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2936$, 2867, 1514, 1463 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.27 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.81 (dd, $J=15.6$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.30 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, H₂₂), 4.55 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.50 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.80 (s, 3 H, ArO*Me*), 3.77 (dd, $J=9.5$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.66–3.54 (m, 3H, H_{17a} + H_{29b} + H_{21}), 3.50 (dd, J=5.8, 3.0 Hz,

1H, H_{25}), 3.41 (dd, $J=9.8$, 7.3 Hz, 1H, H_{17b}), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.35 (dt, $J=6.5, 3.0$ Hz, 1H, H₁₉), 3.28 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.10 (dd, $J=6.9, 4.9$ Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.46 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 1.97–1.88 (m, 2H, H₂₈+H₂₆), 1.85–1.77 (m, 2H, H_{20a} + H_{18}), 1.48 (app dt, J=13.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.11–1.05 (m, 24 H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃ + Si(CH)₃ + MeC₂₄), 1.02 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.97 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.96 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9H, Si- (CH_2CH_3) ₃), 0.83 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC₁₈), 0.59 ppm (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, $Si(CH_2CH_3)_3$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 158.9 137.6, 131.6, 129.9, 128.7 (2 C), 113.6 (2 C), 85.6, 82.3, 80.1, 78.2, 73.4, 64.9, 64.8, 60.1, 57.4, 55.9, 55.3, 41.1, 38.7 (2C), 38.6, 37.1, 18.0 (7C), 15.3, 12.0 (3C), 11.9, 11.2, 6.7 (3C), 4.4 (3C) ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{43}H_{86}O_7Si_2N$: 784.5937 [$M+NH_4$]⁺; found: 784.5944.

28: A catalytic amount of PPTS (3 mg) was added to a stirred solution of 27 (260 mg, 0.35 mmol) in MeOH(25 mL). After 10 min at room temperature, the reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (30% EtOAc/PE) afforded 28 (210 mg, 92%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.55 (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D = +3.8$ (c=0.79, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3748$, 2940, 2866, 1514, 1463 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.81 (dd, $J=15.6$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.28 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.4 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.55 $(d, J=11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.52 (d, J=11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.80$ $(s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.77$ (dd, $J=9.8, 5.0$ Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.68–3.53 (m, 4H, $H_{17a} + H_{29b} + H_{21} + H_{17b}$, 3.51 (dd, J = 5.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.40 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (ddd, $J=4.9$, 7.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H₁₉), 3.29 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.23 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.10 (dd, $J=6.9$, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.70 (app t, $J=5.3$ Hz, 1H, OH), 2.46 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 2.01 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.97–1.86 (m, 3H, H₁₈+H₂₆+ H_{20a}), 1.52 (ddd, J = 14.3, 6.6, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.12-1.04 (m, 24H, $Si(CH(CH_3)_2)$ ₃ + $Si(CH)$ ₃ + MeC_{24}), 1.02 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 0.98 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, MeC_{26}), 0.81 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, MeC_{18}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 158.9$ 138.0, 131.5, 129.8, 128.6 (2C), 113.6 (2C), 85.7, 82.2, 81.7, 80.0, 73.4, 66.3, 65.0, 60.1, 57.1, 55.8, 55.3, 41.2, 38.7, 38.5, 36.3, 35.8, 18.5, 18.1 (6C), 15.5, 12.1 (3C), 12.0, 11.8 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₃₇H₇₂O₇SiN: 670.5073 [M+NH₄]⁺; found: 670.5075.

29: A solution of **28** (215 mg, 0.33 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2.0 mL) was added to a cold $(0^{\circ}C)$, stirred suspension of Dess-Martin periodinane (215 mg, 0.50 mmol) and pyridine (140 μ L, 1.70 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). After 1 h, hexane was added, and the resulting precipitate was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/PE) to afford 29 (200 mg, 93%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.25 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D = -14.1$ ($c = 0.29$, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2943$, 1735, 1514 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 9.72 (d, $J=0.8$ Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 7.26 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, $J=$ 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.81 (dd, $J=15.6$, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.30 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, H_{22}), 4.53 (app s, 2 H, CH₂Ar), 3.80 (s, 3 H, ArOMe), 3.78– 3.71 (m, 2H, H_{29a} + H_{19}), 3.62 (dd, J=9.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H, H_{29b}), 3.59 (m, 1H, H₂₁), 3.51 (dd, $J=5.8$, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 3.40 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.25 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.10 (dd, $J=7.0$, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.52-2.44 (m, 2H, H₁₈+H₂₄), 1.98–1.88 (m, 3H, H_{20a}+H₂₈+H₂₆), 1.53 (app dt, J= 14.1, 6.1 Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.12–1.05 (m, 24H, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃+Si(CH)₃+ MeC_{18}), 1.04 (m, 3H, MeC_{24}), 1.02 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.98 ppm (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, MeC_{26}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.3, 158.9 138.1, 131.5, 129.4, 128.6 (2C), 113.6 (2C), 85.6, 82.2, 79.5, 77.3, 73.4, 65.0, 60.1, 57.2, 55.8, 55.3, 49.1, 41.2, 38.7, 38.5, 37.0, 18.3, 18.1 (6 C), 15.3, 12.0 (3 C), 11.9, 7.8 ppm; HRMS (ES +): m/z calcd for $C_{37}H_{70}O_7SiN$: 668.4916 $[M + NH_4]$ ⁺; found: 668.4922.

12: A solution of dimethyl $(2-\alpha \sigma - \rho \sigma)$ phosphonate $(100 \mu L,$ 0.70 mmol), Et₃N (100 μ L, 0.70 mmol), and **29** (185 mg, 0.28 mmol) in MeCN (4.0 mL) was added to a cold (0 $^{\circ}$ C), stirred suspension of LiCl (30 mg, 0.70 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 18 h before being partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (15% EtOAc/PE) afforded 12 (195 mg,

99%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.17 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $[a]_D = -33.8$ (c= 0.07, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2945$, 1674, 1466 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.82 (dd, $J=16.2$, 6.9 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 6.04 (dd, $J=16.2$, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.82 (dd, $J=15.6$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.25 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.4 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.55 $(d, J=11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.51 (d, J=11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 3.80$ (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.76 (dd, $J=9.6$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.65–3.59 (m, 2H, $H_{29b} + H_{21}$), 3.51 (dd, $J = 5.8$, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H_{25}), 3.40 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.27 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.18 (app dt, J=8.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H19), 3.10 (dd, $J=6.9$, 4.7 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.63 (m, 1H, H₁₈), 2.47 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 2.24 (s, 3H, H₁₄), 1.97–1.88 (m, 2H, H₂₈+H₂₆), 1.75 (ddd, J=14.1, 8.6, 5.8 Hz, 1 H, H_{20a}), 1.48 (ddd, $J=14.0$, 7.8, 4.1 Hz, 1 H, H_{20b}), 1.12–1.04 (m, 24H, Si $(CH(CH_3)_2)_3 + Si(CH)_3 + MeC_{24}$, 1.02 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 0.99 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{18}), 0.98 ppm (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 198.6, 158.9 149.9, 138.3, 131.5, 131.2, 129.6, 128.6 (2 C), 113.6 (2 C), 85.6, 82.2, 80.9, 79.9, 73.4, 65.0, 60.1, 57.2, 55.8, 55.3, 41.2, 38.8, 38.6, 38.6, 37.1, 26.7, 18.2, 18.1 (6 C), 15.3, 14.1, 12.0 (3 C), 12.0 ppm; HRMS (ES +): m/z calcd for C₄₀H₇₄O₇SiN: 708.5229 $[M+NH₄]$ ⁺; found: 708.5235.

31: A solution of (S) -30 (2.00 g, 9.70 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) was added to a cold $(-20^{\circ}C)$, stirred solution of dicyclohexylboron chloride (2.75 mL, 12.6 mmol) and Me₂NEt (1.58 mL, 14.55 mmol) in Et₂O (10.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0° C for 1 h before being cooled to -78° C. A solution of 3-butenal (23.3 mL, \approx 1 m in $CH₂Cl₂$, 23.3 mmol) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, then at -20 °C for 16 h, after which the reaction was quenched at 0° C with MeOH (20 mL), pH 7 buffer (20 mL), and $H₂O₂$ (30% v/v , 10 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (10% EtOAc/PE) afforded 31 (2.28 g, 85%) as a white solid. R_f : 0.18 (25%) EtOAc/hexane); m.p.: 70–71 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +24.9$ $(c=0.55, \text{CHCl}_3)$; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3453$, 2983, 2938, 1717, 1453, 1270, 1119, 1003, 715 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.08 (app dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.59 (tt, $J=7.5$, 1.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.46 (t, $J=7.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.84 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.44 (q, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H, BzOCH), 5.17–5.11 (m, 2H, CH= CH₂), 3.85 (m, 1H, CHOH), 2.92 (app quint, $J=7.3$ Hz, 1H, CHMe), 2.42 (d, $J=5.5$ Hz, 1H, OH), 2.39 (m, 1H, C H_aH_b), 2.18 (app dt, $J=14.4$, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, CH_aH_b), 1.57 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, Me), 1.26 ppm (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, Me); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 212.3, 165.8, 134.2, 133.3, 129.8, 129.5 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 118.3, 74.7, 72.5, 47.5, 39.9, 15.8, 14.1 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₁₆H₂₁O₄: 277.1434 [M+H]⁺; found: 277.1438.

32: Proton sponge (9.86 g, 46.0 mmol) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (4.26 g, 28.8 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of 31 (3.63 g, 13.14 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) at 0°C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 16 h before the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ (80 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with citric acid (50 mL, 10 wt%) and brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (10% EtOAc/PE) afforded 32 (3.50 g, 92%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.60 (20% EtOAc/hexane); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -25.8$ (c= 2.23, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2980$, 2936, 1718, 1452, 1266, 1115, 1094, 711 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.08 (app dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.56 (tt, J=7.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.44 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.83 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.38 (q, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H, BzOCH), 5.14–5.07 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 3.50 (dt, $J=9.4$, 4.5 Hz, 1H, CHOMe), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.02 (dq, $J=9.5$, 7.0 Hz, 1H, CHMe), 2.51 (m, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.14 (m, 1H, CH_aH_b), 1.53 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.10 ppm (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, Me); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 209.8, 165.6, 133.3, 133.0, 129.6 (3C), 128.2 (2C), 117.4, 81.6, 74.9, 57.5, 45.7, 33.9, 15.1, 13.2 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₄: 291.1591 [M+H]⁺; found: 291.1582.

33: Methyllithium (42 mL, 1.6 m in Et₂O, 67.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 32 (3.92 g, 13.50 mmol) in Et₂O (120 mL) at -78 °C over 10 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to

 -20 °C for 2 h before the reaction was quenched by sequential addition of EtOAc (10 mL) and saturated aqueous NH4Cl (30 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous sodium/potassium tartrate (40 mL) and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (66% EtOAc/PE) afforded 33 (2.10 g, 77%) as a colorless oil (obtained as a 3:2 mixture of diastereomers). R_f : 0.21 (20% EtOAc/hexane); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3438, 2979,$ 2938, 1458, 1370, 1081, 913 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.84 $(m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.14-5.08$ $(m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 4.82$ $(s, 1H, *OH), 3.86$ (s, 1H, OH), 3.74 (br m, 1H, CHMe), 3.50 (br m, 1H, *CHMe), 3.42 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.39 (s, 3H, *OMe), 3.35 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.93 (br s, 1H, OH), 2.58 (m, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.41 (br d, J=9.8 Hz, 1H, *OH), 2.21 (m, 1H, CH_aH_b), 1.91 (m, 1H, CHMe), 1.84 (m, 1H, *CHMe), 1.20 (s, 3H, *Me), 1.17–1.13 (m, 3H, Me), 1.04 (s, 3H, Me), 0.90 (d, $J=7.1$ Hz, 3H, Me), 0.71 ppm (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, *Me) (distinguishable diastereomeric resonances of the minor component are denoted with an asterisk); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₁₁H₂₂O₃Na: 225.1461 [M+Na]⁺; found: 225.1466. 34: A solution of 33 (900 mg, 4.45 mmol) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 30 mL) was added to a stirred suspension of NaIO₄ (7.61 g, 35.6 mmol) and $OsO₄$ $(2.5 \text{ wt\% in } t\text{BuOH}, 0.65 \text{ mL}, 49 \text{ µmol})$ in THF/H₂O $(1:1, 30 \text{ mL})$ at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h then allowed to warm to room temperature for 20 h before the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (20 mL). The mixture was diluted with H₂O and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (30% EtOAc/PE) afforded 34 (457 mg, 65%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.39 (50% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -24.8$ (c=2.42, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2939, 1710, 1458, 1357, 1092 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$: $\delta = 9.82$ (dd, $J = 2.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1H, CHO), 3.99 (dt, $J =$ 6.9, 4.3 Hz, 1H, CHOMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.89 (app q, J=7.1 Hz, 1H, CHMe), 2.61 (ddd, $J=16.7, 4.3, 1.5$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.54 (ddd, $J=$ 16.7, 6.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.20 (s, 3H, MeCO), 1.06 ppm (d, $J=$ 7.2 Hz, 3H, Me); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ=210.6, 200.7, 77.1, 57.6, 49.5, 44.9, 29.8, 11.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd for $C_8H_{15}O_3$: 159.1016 $[M+H]^+$; found: 159.1016.

10: LiHMDS (3.76 mL, 1m in THF, 3.76 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 35 (1.60 g, 4.33 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at -78° C over 10 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0° C and was maintained for 30 min to produce a bright-yellow solution. After cooling to -78 °C, a solution of 34 (457 mg, 2.89 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NH4Cl (20 mL), and the mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in hot Et₂O/hexane (1:2, 40 mL), and the resulting suspension cooled in an ice bath for 1 h (Ph₃PO precipitated as a white crystalline solid). The supernatant liquid was transferred into another flask and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (50% EtOAc/CH₂Cl₂) afforded the N-vinylformamide (320 mg, 52%) as a 1.5:1 mixture of Z/E isomers by ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis. This mixture was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL), and a solution of iodine (9.9 mg, 0.039 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred in the dark for 96 h, and the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ (30 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (50% EtOAc/CH₂Cl₂) afforded 10 (263 mg, 82%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.47 (50% EtOAc/ hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -74.6$ (c=1.78, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu} = 2934$, 1696, 1658, 1387, 1354, 1077, 955 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.28 (s, 1H, NCHO), 8.06 (s, 1H, *NCHO), 7.18 (d, $J=14.5$ Hz, 1H, *H₃₆), 6.51 (d, $J=13.9$ Hz, 1H, H₃₆), 5.14–5.04 (m, 1H, H₃₅), 3.45 (m, 1H, H₃₃), 3.32 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, *OMe), 3.07 (s, 3H, *NMe), 3.03 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.79–2.70 (m, 1H, H₃₂), 2.50 (dt, $J=15.0$, 5.2 Hz, 1H, $*H_{34a}$), 2.43 (dt, $J=$ 15.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H, H_{34a}), 2.23–2.12 (m_{obs} , 1H, H_{34b}), 2.18 (s, 3H, H_{30}), 2.17 (s, 3H, $*H_{30}$), 1.01 ppm (app t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H, MeC₃₂+ $*MeC_{32}$) (distinguishable resonances of the minor rotamer are denoted with an asterisk); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 211.4 *(211.5), 162.0 *(160.7), 130.3

 $*(126.3), 105.4 * (107.0), 82.2, 57.5 * (57.3), 49.5 * (49.4), 30.4 * (30.2), 29.9$ *(29.7), 27.4 *(32.9), 12.3 *(12.5) ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{11}H_{20}NO_3$: 214.1438 $[M+H]^+$; found: 214.1449.

37: nBuLi (80.5 mL, 1.4m in hexane, 112.7 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of trimethylsilylacetylene (14.9 mL, 106 mmol) in THF (150 mL) at -78 °C. After 30 min, a solution of (S)-36 (11.5 g, 35.2 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added, followed by the addition of BF_3 : Et_2O (4.9 mL, 38.7 mmol). After 1.5 h, the reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×50 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude extracts were then dissolved in MeOH(150 mL), followed by the addition of K_2CO_3 (34.0 g, 246 mmol). After 4 h, the reaction mixture was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (200 mL) and H_2O (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 150 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (10 \rightarrow 15% EtOAc/PE) afforded 37 (10.4 g, 84%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.46 (50% EtOAc/hexane); $\lbrack a \rbrack_{D}^{20} = -0.5$ ($c = 3.80$, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3422, 3298, 2932, 2858, 1589, 1472, 1111, 1080 \text{ cm}^{-1};$ ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 7.71 - 7.66 \text{ (m, 4H, SiPh)}, 7.48 - 7.37 \text{ (m, 6H, SiPh)},$ 4.08 (m, 1H, CHOH), 3.92 (app dt, $J=10.5$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bOSi), 3.86 (ddd, $J=10.5$, 7.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bOSi), 3.36 (d, $J=3.2$ Hz, 1H, OH), 2.45 (ddd, $J=16.8$, 6.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.40 (ddd, $J=16.8$, 6.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.02 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, alkyne C-H), 1.87-1.76 (m, 2H, CH_aH_b), 1.06 ppm (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.5 (4C), 133.0, 132.9, 129.8 (2C), 127.8 (4C), 81.0, 70.3, 69.9, 62.9, 37.3, 27.1, 26.8 (3C), 19.0 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₂₂H₂₉O₂Si: 353.1931 $[M+H]^+$; found: 353.1930.

38: NaH $(1.16 \text{ g}, 60 \text{ wt\%})$ dispersion in oil, 28.9 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 37 (8.5 g, 24.1 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0° C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 h, then it was cooled to 0° C, and MeI (4.5 mL, 72.2 mmol) was added. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature for 4 h, recooled to 0° C, and the reaction was quenched with methanol (6.0 mL). After partitioning between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL) and $CH₂Cl₂$ (200 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (5% EtOAc/PE) afforded 38 (8.48 g, 96%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.40 (10%) Et₂O/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -17.2$ (c=4.30, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3306$, 2930, 2858, 1589, 1478, 1428, 1105, cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.70– 7.65 (m, 4H, SiPh), 7.45–7.36 (m, 6H, SiPh), 3.83 (ddd, J=10.2, 8.2, 5.1 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bOSi), 3.75 (dt, J=10.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bOSi), 3.60 (m, 1H, CHOMe), 3.37 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.46 (ddd, J=16.8, 6.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 2.40 (ddd, J=16.8, 4.9, 2.6 Hz, 1H, CH_aH_b), 1.99 (t, J= 2.6 Hz, 1H, alkyne C-H), 1.90 (m, 1H, CH_aH_b), 1.81 (m, 1H, CH_aH_b), 1.06 ppm (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.6 (4C), 133.9 (2C), 129.6 (2C), 127.6 (4C), 81.0, 76.0, 69.9, 60.2, 57.1, 36.6, 26.9 (3 C), 23.3, 19.2 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{31}O_{2}s$ i: 367.2088 $[M+H]$ ⁺; found: 367.2100.

39: Trimethylaluminum (15.4 mL, 2.0m in hexane, 30.8 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 38 (2.26 g, 6.16 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (30 mL) at room temperature. After 15 min, zirconocene dichloride (3.60 g, 12.3 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated to 60°C for 16 h. After cooling to -20 °C, a solution of iodine (2.50 g, 9.86 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $NH₄Cl$ (20 mL). Acidification with aqueous HCl (1 m, 10 mL) gave a clear solution, which was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (5%) EtOAc/PE) afforded 39 (2.85 g, 91%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.58 (15%) EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -17.5$ (c=4.0, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2930, 2857,$ 1472, 1428, 1105, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.70–7.63 $(m, 4H, SiPh)$, 7.44–7.36 $(m, 6H, SiPh)$, 5.94 (app d, $J=1.0$ Hz, 1H, H₄), 3.80 (ddd, $J=10.2$, 7.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H, H_{9a}), 3.71 (app dt, $J=10.2$, 5.4 Hz, 1 H, H_{qb}), 3.57 (m, 1 H, H_7), 3.30 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.45 (dd, $J=14.0$, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, H_{6a}), 2.30 (dd, $J=14.0$, 6.1 Hz, 1 H, H_{6b}), 1.87 (app d, $J=1.0$ Hz, 3 H,

 MeC_5), 1.70–1.59 (m, 2H, $H_{8a} + H_{8b}$), 1.06 ppm (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.1, 135.6 (4 C), 133.8 (2 C), 129.6 (2 C), 127.7 (4 C), 76.9, 75.9, 60.2, 56.9, 43.9, 36.8, 26.9 (3 C), 24.4, 19.2 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₃₄IO₂Si: 509.1367 [M+H]⁺; found: 509.1301.

52: TBAF (13 mL, 1m in THF, 12.98 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 39 (5.5 g, 10.81 mmol) in THF (120 mL) at 0 °C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 2 h before being partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (150 mL) and saturated aqueous NH4Cl (120 mL). The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(10 \rightarrow 40\%$ EtOAc/PE) afforded 52 (2.71 g, 93%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.06 (20% Et₂O/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -23.3$ (c=1.00, CHCl₃); IR (neat): \tilde{v} = 3390, 2930, 2830, 1620, 1110, 1070 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =5.98 (d, J=1.0 Hz, 1H, H₄), 3.79–3.69 (m, 2H, H_{9a}+H_{9b}), 3.54 (m, 1H, H₇), 3.36 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.53 (dd, $J=13.9$, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 2.33 (dd, $J=13.9, 6.6$ Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 1.86 (d, $J=1.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_5), 1.72 (m, 1H, H_{8a}), 1.63 ppm (m, 1H, H_{8b}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 144.5$, 78.6, 77.3, 60.4, 56.9, 43.4, 35.8, 24.3 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_9H_{16}IO_2$: 271.0189 $[M+H]^+$; found: 271.0193.

53: A solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (1.76 mL, 24.74 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (6.0 mL) was added to a cold (-78 °C), stirred solution of oxalyl chloride (1.06 mL, 12.37 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (17.0 mL). After 15 min, a solution of 52 (2.57 g, 9.51 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) was added. After 15 min, Et₃N (6.9 mL, 49.48 mmol) was added, and the solution was warmed to -50° C followed by 20 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (20% EtOAc/PE) afforded 53 (2.0 g, 78%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.40 (30% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -19.0 (c=1.00, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2930$, 2830, 2730, 1700, 1110, 1080 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 9.40 (s, 1H, H₉), 5.78 (s, 1H, H₄), 3.48 (app quint, $J=6.0$ Hz, 1H, H₇), 2.99 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.16–2.10 $(m, 2H, H_{6a}+H_{8a}), 1.97 (dd, J=13.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 1.92 (dd, J=16.7,$ 4.8 Hz, 2H, H_{8b}), 1.74 ppm (s, 3H, MeC_5); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 199.2, 144.5, 78.2, 74.6, 56.7, 47.7, 43.5, 24.4 ppm; HRMS (ES+): mlz calcd for $C_8H_{14}IO_2$: 269.0033 $[M+H]^+$; found: 268.9933.

54: MeMgI (7.46 mL, 3 m in Et₂O, 22.38 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 53 (2.0 g, 7.46 mmol) in THF (60 mL) at -78° C. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to -40° C for 1 h then partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL) and Et₂O (100 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2×50 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(30 \rightarrow 50\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O/PE})$ afforded the alcohol $(1.69 \text{ g}, 80\%)$ as a colorless oil (obtained as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers). R_f : 0.06 (30% Et₂O/ hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -32.7$ (c=1.27, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3420, 2970, 2930,$ 2830, 1620, 1460, 1370, 1270, 1080 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.98 (s, 1H, H4), 4.06 (m, 0.5H, H9), 3.94 (m, 0.5H, *H9), 3.62 (m, 0.5H, H7), 3.54 (m, 0.5H, *H7), 3.38 (s, 1.5H, OMe), 3.37 (s, 1.5H, *OMe), 2.60–2.50 (m, 2H, OH + H_{6a}), 2.36–2.30 (m, 1H, H_{6b}), 1.86 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.60 (ddd, $J=14.6, 9.1, 3.4$ Hz, 1H, H_{8a}), 1.54–1.46 (m, 1.5H, $*H_{8a}$ +H_{8b}), 1.18 (d, $J=6.3$ Hz, 1.5 H, H₁₀), 1.16 ppm (d, $J=6.2$ Hz, 1.5 H, $*H_{10}$) (distinguishable diastereomeric resonances of the minor component are denoted with an asterisk); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₉H₁₈IO₂: 285.0346 $[M+H]^+$; found: 285.0348. Pyridinium chlorochromate (2.28 g, 10.56 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of the preceding alcohol (1.5 g, 5.28 mmol) and celite (2.28 g), dried under high vacuum, in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) at 0 °C. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was maintained at room temperature for 16 h then partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×20 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (20%) EtOAc/PE) afforded 54 (1.41 g, 95%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.50 (30%) EtOAc/Hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -21.0$ (c=1.01 CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3060$, 2930, 2830, 1710, 1360, 1110 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.97 $(s, 1H, H_4)$, 3.82 (app quint, $J=6.4$ Hz, $1H, H_7$), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.63 (dd, $J=16.5, 7.3$ Hz, 1H, H_{8a}), 2.47 (dd, $J=13.9, 6.1$ Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 2.42

 $(dd, J=16.5, 4.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H}_{\text{sh}}), 2.31 \text{ (dd, } J=13.9, 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H}_{\text{sh}}), 2.15 \text{ (s, }$ 3H, H₁₀), 1.86 ppm (s, 3H, MeC₅); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 206.9, 144.6, 77.5, 75.3, 57.2, 47.7, 43.6, 31.1, 24.3 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₉H₁₆IO₂: 283.0189 [M+H]⁺; found: 283.0190.

55 and 56: Et_3N (0.20 mL, 1.42 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of $(-)$ -Ipc₂BCl (432 mg, 1.35 mmol, dried under vacuum for 1.5 h) in Et₂O (3.0 mL) at 0°C, followed by the addition of a solution of 54 (200 mg, 0.71 mmol) in Et₂O (3.0 mL). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of (R)-45 (337 mg, 1.77 mmol) in Et₂O (3 mL) was added. After 20 min at -78° C, the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH (3.2 mL) and pH 7 buffer (3.2 mL) , and the mixture was allowed to warm to 0° C. Hydrogen peroxide (1.6 mL, 30% aqueous) was added dropwise, and stirring continued for 40 min, then the reaction mixture was partitioned between water (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (3×15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(5 \rightarrow 10\%$ EtOAc/PE) afforded epimeric adducts 55 (148 mg, 43%) and 56 (92 mg, 27%) as colorless oils. Major adduct 55: R_f : 0.46 (40% EtOAc/hexane); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -2.60$ (c=1.0, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3494$, 2929, 2857, 1709, 1463, 1361, 1098 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): $\delta = 5.80$ (s, 1H, H₄), 4.26 (m, 1H, H₁₁), 3.65 (dd, J= 9.7, 7.7 Hz, 1H, H_{13a}), 3.46 (d, $J=1.7$ Hz, 1H, OH), 3.45 (dd, $J=9.7$, 5.3 Hz, 1H, H_{13b}), 3.35 (m, 1H, H₇), 2.94 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.54 (dd, $J=17.3$, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H_{10a}), 2.51 (m, 1 H, H_{12}), 2.43 (dd, J = 17.3, 4.3 Hz, 1 H, H_{10b}), 2.13 (dd, $J=14.0$, 6.1 Hz, 1H, H_{8a}), 2.06 (dd, $J=14.0$, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H_{8b}), 1.73 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.60 (ddd, J = 14.2, 8.3, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 1.41 (ddd, $J=14.2, 5.0, 3.3$ Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 0.92 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.87 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 0.00 ppm (s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 212.8, 145.1, 78.5, 77.6, 66.4, 65.8, 56.2, 50.2, 49.2, 43.4, 40.7, 26.1 (3 C), 24.5, 18.5, 12.9, -5.3 ppm (2C); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{38}IO_4Si: 485.1579 [M+H]⁺; found: 485.1582. Minor adduct 56: R_f:$ 0.50 (40% EtOAc/hexane); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}^{20} = -22.2$ (c=7.5, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} =$ 3486, 2929, 2857, 1709, 1471, 1362, 1256, 1100, 837 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): $\delta = 5.82$ (d, $J = 0.9$ Hz, 1H, H₄), 4.36 (m, 1H, H₁₁), 3.60 (dd, $J=9.7, 7.7$ Hz, 1H, H_{13a}), 3.55 (m, 1H, H₇), 3.42 (dd, $J=9.7, 5.2$ Hz, 1H, H_{13b}), 3.36 (d, $J=3.3$ Hz, 1H, OH), 3.10 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.44 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 2.40 (dd, $J=17.3$, 9.1 Hz, 1H, H_{10a}), 2.23 (dd, $J=17.3$, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H_{10b}), 2.21 (dd, $J=14.0$, 5.9 Hz, 1H, H_{8a}), 2.08 (dd, $J=14.1$, 6.3 Hz, 1H, H_{8b}), 1.76 (d, $J=0.9$ Hz, 3H, $MeC₅$), 1.44 (ddd, $J=14.1$, 10.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 1.29 (m, 1H, H_{6b}), 0.92 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.82 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 0.00 ppm (s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 213.8, 145.4, 77.4, 76.4, 65.8, 64.9, 57.2, 49.9, 49.2, 43.8, 41.6, 26.1 (3 C), 24.5, 18.5, 12.8, -5.4 ppm (2C); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{38}IO_4Si$: 485.1579 $[M+H]^+$, found: 485.1589.

57: Et_3N (0.21 mL, 1.52 mmol) and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoylchloride (0.243 mL, 1.52 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of diethylphosphonoacetic acid (0.24 mL, 1.52 mmol) in PhMe (30 mL) at room temperature. After 5 min, a solution of 55 (210 mg, 0.43 mmol) in PhMe (20 mL) was added by cannula, followed by DMAP (106 mg, 0.87 mmol). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was partitioned between pH7 buffer (20 mL) and EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in THF (12 mL) and cooled to 0° C before addition of water (0.63 mL) and barium hydroxide octahydrate (340 mg, 1.08 mmol, dried under vacuum $(0.5 \text{ mm} \text{He}/150 \text{ °C}/4 \text{ h})$). After 20 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature for 2 h before being partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), pH 7 buffer (15 mL), and citric acid (5 mL, 10 wt%). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $Et₂O$ (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(10\rightarrow 20\% \text{ EtOAc/PE})$ afforded 57 (161 mg, 73%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.52 (40% EtOAc/hexane); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = +20.0$ (c=1.0, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} =$ 2929, 2858, 1718, 1471, 1390, 1252, 1103, 838, 777 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.01 (s, 1H, H₄), 5.82 (br s, 1H, H₃₉), 4.46 (dt, J = 12.9, 3.9 Hz, 1 H, H₁₁), 3.66-3.58 (m, 2 H, H_{13a} + H_{13b}), 3.49 (m, 1 H, H₇), 3.32 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.53–2.45 (m, 2H, $H_{10a} + H_{6a}$), 2.35 (app d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H, $H_{8a} + H_{8b}$), 2.32 (dd, J=14.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 2.22 (dd, J=17.9, 3.4 Hz, 1 H, H_{10b}), 1.87 (br d, $J=0.6$ Hz, 4 H, MeC_5), 1.87 (m, 1 H, H_{12}), 1.00 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 0.88 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.04 ppm (s, 6H, $\text{Si}(CH_3)_2$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 165.3, 158.3, 144.1, 117.8, 77.8, 77.8, 77.5, 64.2, 57.1, 43.6, 41.0, 39.5, 32.0, 25.9 (3 C), 24.4, 18.2, 11.5, -5.5 ppm (2C); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₂₁H₃₈IO₄Si: 509.1579 $[M+H]$ ⁺; found: 504.1584.

58: Pyridinium hydrofluoride buffered with pyridine (1.06 mL, 4.2 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 57 (100 mg, 0.20 mmol) in THF (7.0 mL) at 0°C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 16 h before being partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(40 \rightarrow 60\%$ EtOAc/PE) afforded 58 (77 mg 92%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.08 (40% EtOAc/PE); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = +38.7$ (c= 1.00, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3450$, 2934, 2830, 1710, 1258, 1102, 1033 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.00 (s, 1H, H₄), 5.80 (br s, 1H, H₃₉), 4.54 (dt, J=12.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.72 (m, 1H, H_{13a}), 3.64 (br s, 1H, H_{13b}), 3.51 (app quint, $J=6.1$ Hz, 1H, H₇), 3.32 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.55–2.46 (m, 2H, H_{10a} + H_{6a}), 2.37–2.34 (m, 2H, H_{8a} + H_{8b}), 2.31 (dd, $J=$ 14.1, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, H_{6b}), 2.21 (dd, $J=17.9$, 3.4 Hz, 1 H, H_{10b}), 2.15 (br s, 1 H, OH), 1.92 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.86 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.01 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 165.1, 158.5, 144.0, 117.5, 77.8 (2 C), 77.4, 64.0, 57.0, 43.5, 40.8, 39.1, 31.7, 24.4, 11.2 ppm; HRMS (ES⁺): m/z calcd for C₁₅H₂₃IO₄Na: 417.0533 [M+Na]⁺; found: 417.0535.

59: TEMPO (3.2 mg, 0.020 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 58 (40 mg, 0.13 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) at room temperature, followed by iodobenzene diacetate (98 mg, 0.304 mmol). After 3 h, the reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ (2.0 mL), $NaS₂O₃$ (2.0 mL), and CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (50% EtOAc/PE) afforded 59 (35 mg, 88%) as a colorless oil that was used directly in the subsequent aldol coupling step. R_f : 0.12 (40%) EtOAc/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.78$ (d, $J = 0.9$ Hz, 1H, H₁₃), 6.03 (br d, J=1.0 Hz, 1H, H₄), 5.86 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 4.72 (dt, J=9.9, 5.8 Hz, 1 H, H₁₁), 3.52 (m, 1 H, H₇), 3.34 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.73 (m, 1 H, H₁₂), 2.52 (dd, $J=13.9$, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{6a}), 2.43–2.40 (m, 2 H, H_{10a}+H_{10b}), 2.39– 2.34 (m, 2H, $H_{8b}+H_{10b}$), 2.33 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H, H_{6b}) 1.88 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_5), 1.30 ppm (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}).

61: TMSCl (1.0 mL) and Et_3N (1.0 mL) were mixed in a dry centrifuge tube fitted with a septum. The mixture was centrifuged, and the resulting supernatant liquid was used immediately. LDA (0.85 mL, 1m in THF, 0.85 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 12 (146 mg, 0.21 mmol) in THF (7.5 mL) containing powdered CaH₂ (100 mg) at -78° C. After 30 min, the prepared solution of TMSCl and $Et₃N$ (584 μ L) was added. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of pH7 buffer (5 mL), and the mixture was diluted with PE (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with PE (10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford 61 (161 mg, $\approx 100\%$) that was used directly in the subsequent aldol coupling step.

78: $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (1.20 mL, 1 m in CH₂Cl₂, 1.20 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 59 (64 mg, 0.16 mmol) and 61 in CH₂Cl₂ (3.6 mL) containing powdered CaH₂ (100 mg) at -100 °C. After 40 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ (10 mL), and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×25 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(20 \rightarrow 50\% \text{ EtOAc/PE})$ afforded a mixture of epimeric aldol adducts as a colorless oil (122 mg, 69%, 3:1 d.r.). HPLC (10% iPrOH/hexane) separation of a sample provided pure major adduct 78. R_f : 0.60 (80% EtOAc/hexane); $t_R(HPLC) = 16.0$ min (10%) *iPrOH*); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.8$ (*c*=0.30, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu} = 3525$, 2932, 2830, 1716, 1514, 1462, 1248, 1102 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.28– 7.25 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.92 (dd, $J=16.1$, 6.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 6.86 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.09 (dd, J=16.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H16), 6.02 (s, 1H, H4), 5.85–5.80 $(m, 2H, H_{23}+H_{39}), 5.26$ (dd, $J=15.5, 8.4$ Hz, 1H, H_{22}), 4.57–4.48 (m, 3H, $CH₂Ar+H₁₁$), 4.30 (m, 1H, H₁₃), 3.80 (s, 3H, ArO*Me*), 3.77 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.65–3.60 (m, 2H, H₂₁+H_{29b}), 3.55–3.49 (m, 2H, H₇+ H25), 3.40 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.27 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.20 (dt, $J=8.6$, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H₁₉), 3.10 (dd, $J=6.9$, 4.7 Hz,

1H, H_{27}), 2.79–2.76 (m, 2H, H_{14a} + H_{14b}), 2.64 (m, 1H, H_{18}), 2.58–2.45 (m, 3H, $H_{10a} + H_{8a} + H_{24}$), 2.38–2.31 (m, 4H, $H_{8b} + H_{10b} + H_{6a} + H_{6b}$), 1.94 (m, 1 H, H₂₈), 1.88 (s, 3 H, MeC_5), 1.88–1.84 (m, 2 H, H₂₆+H₁₂), 1.73 (ddd, J = 14.0, 8.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H_{20a}), 1.48 (ddd, $J=14.0$, 7.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.10–1.04 (m, 27H, $MeC_{12} + MeC_{24} + Si(CH(CH_3)_2)_3 + Si(CH)_3)$, 1.02 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 1.00 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{18}), 0.98 (d, $J=$ 7.1 Hz, 3 H, MeC_{26}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 201.3, 165.1, 158.9, 158.5, 150.6, 144.1, 138.4, 131.5, 130.1, 129.4, 128.6 (2 C), 117.4, 113.6 (2 C), 85.6, 82.2, 80.8, 79.9, 78.8, 77.9, 77.2, 73.4, 67.4, 64.9, 60.1, 57.2, 57.1, 55.8, 55.3, 43.5, 43.2, 41.3, 41.1, 41.0, 38.7, 38.5, 38.4, 36.9, 31.7, 24.4, 18.2, 18.1 (6C), 15.3, 13.9, 12.0 (4C), 9.5 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{55}H_{91}IO_{11}SiNa$: 1105.5268 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 1105.5230.

79: AcOH (1.0 mL) was added to a stirred solution of $Me₄NBH(OAc)₃$ (21 mg, 0.37 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL) at room temperature. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was cooled to -30° C followed by the addition of 78 (21 mg, 19.0 µmol) in MeCN (1.05 mL). The resultant solution was then stirred at -20° C for 3 h before the addition of saturated aqueous sodium/potassium tartrate (5.0 mL). After 15 min, the resultant suspension was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL), Et₂O (2×10 mL), and CH₂Cl₂ (2×20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (60% EtOAc/PE) afforded 79 (20 mg, 95% , $> 95:5$ d.r.) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.3 (50% EtOAc/hexane); $\lbrack a \rbrack_{D}^{20} = -11.0$ ($c = 0.10$, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3427, 2929, 1714, 1613, 1514, 1463, 1382, 1248, 1090, 733 \text{ cm}^{-1};$ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.02 (s, 1H, H₄), 5.84–5.79 (m, 2H, H₂₃+H₃₉), 5.68 (dd, $J=15.7, 7.4$ Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.56 (dd, $J=15.7, 6.4$ Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.26 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.4 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.56 (d, $J=11.0$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.51 (d, $J=11.0$ Hz, 1H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.49 (m, 1H, H₁₁), 4.40 (m, 1H, H₁₅), 4.16 $(m, 1H, H_{13}),$ 3.80 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.77 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.65–3.60 (m, 2H, H_{21} + H_{29b}), 3.54–3.49 (m, 3H, H_7 +OH+ H_{25}), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.33 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.25 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.11–3.06 (m, 2H, H₂₇+H₁₉), 2.59–2.43 (m, 3H, H₁₈+H_{10a}+H₂₄), 2.37– 2.20 (m, 5H, $H_{6a} + H_{6b} + H_{8a} + H_{8b} + H_{10b}$), 1.96–1.84 (m, 2H, $H_{26} + H_{28} +$ H_{14a}) 1.88 (s, 1H, MeC_5), 1.80–1.70 (m, 2H, $H_{12}+H_{20a}$), 1.58 (m, 1H, H_{14b}), 1.49 (m, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.10–1.04 (m, 27H, $MeC_{12} + MeC_{24} + SiCH$ - $(CH_3)_2$ ₃+Si(CH)₃), 1.02 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 0.98 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.93 ppm (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{18}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 165.0, 158.9, 158.5, 144.0, 138.2, 133.5, 132.4, 131.5, 129.7,$ 128.7 (2 C), 117.3, 113.6 (2 C), 85.6, 82.2, 81.3, 80.2, 79.9, 77.9, 77.2, 73.4, 71.0, 69.3, 64.9, 60.1, 57.1, 56.9, 55.8, 55.3, 43.5, 42.0, 41.1, 40.9, 40.4, 38.7, 38.5, 38.0, 36.8, 31.9, 24.4, 18.2, 18.1 (6 C), 15.3, 15.2, 12.0 (4 C), 8.8 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₅₅H₉₃IO₁₁SiNa: 1107.5424 [M+Na]⁺; found: 1107.5469.

80: Proton sponge (166 mg, 0.784 mmol) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (58 mg, 0.39 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of 79 together with its minor diastereomer $(21 \text{ mg}, 19.6 \text{ µmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (2.4 mL) at 0° C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 4 h before being partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with citric acid (10 mL, 10 wt%), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (5 \rightarrow 10% iPrOH/PE) afforded 80 and its minor diastereomer (20 mg, 97%, 3:1 d.r.) as a colorless oil. Separation of the diastereomers was performed by HPLC (5% *iPrOH*/hexane, $9.0 \text{ mL} \text{min}^{-1}$, SiO_2 semipreparative) to provide 80 (9.8 mg, 49%) and the minor diastereomer 81 (3.3 mg, 16%). 80: R_f : 0.64 (60% EtOAc/hexane); $t_R = 61$ min (5% *iPrOH/hexane*, 9 mLmin⁻¹); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -3.1$ (c=0.1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2925, 2867, 1720,$ 1514, 1463, 1379, 1248, 1100, 883, 821, 682 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.86 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.01 $(s, 1H, H_4)$, 5.82 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.7 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.81 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 5.63 (dd, $J=15.6$, 7.4 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.29–5.21 (m, 2H, H₂₂+H₁₆), 4.56 (d, $J=$ 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.51 (d, J=11.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_aH_bAr), 4.38 (ddd, $J=12.1, 5.4, 3.8$ Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.79 (s, 3H, ArOMe), 3.77 (dd, $J=9.7$, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.67–3.58 (m, 3H, $H_{15}+H_{21}+H_{29b}$), 3.53–3.45 (m, 3H, H7+H13+H25), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.32 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.25 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.23 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.11– 3.05 (m, 2H, H₁₉+H₂₇), 2.52–2.41 (m, 4H, H_{10a}+H₁₈+H₂₄+H_{8a}), 2.39–

I. Paterson et al.

2.28 (m, 4H, H_{6a} + H_{6b} + H_{8b} + H_{10b}), 1.98 (m, 1H, H_{12}), 1.96–1.89 (m, 2H, $H_{26}+H_{28}$, 1.87 (s, 3H, MeC₅), 1.79–1.67 (m, 2H, H_{14a}+H_{20a}), 1.58 (m, 1H, H_{14b}), 1.50 (ddd, J = 13.9, 8.2, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.10-1.03 (m, 27H, $MeC_{12}+MeC_{24}+Si(CH(CH_3)_2)_3+Si(CH)_3)$, 1.02 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.98 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.92 ppm (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, $MeC₁₈$); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 165.2, 158.9, 158.2, 144.1, 138.2, 136.0, 131.6, 130.3, 129.8, 128.6 (2C), 117.6, 113.6 (2C), 85.7, 82.2, 81.5, 80.2, 79.1, 78.8, 78.4, 77.9, 77.4, 73.4, 65.0, 60.2, 57.9, 57.1, 57.0, 55.8 (2 C), 55.3, 43.5, 41.1, 40.8, 39.7, 38.7, 38.5, 38.2, 37.7, 36.9, 32.6, 24.4, 18.2, 18.1 (6 C), 15.3, 15.3, 12.0 (4 C), 10.8 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{57}H_{97}IO_{11}SiNa: 1135.5737 [M+Na]^+$; found: 1135.5792.

82: DDQ (3.15 mg, 13.9 µmol) was added to a stirred solution of 80 (10.3 mg, 9.25 µmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) and pH 7 buffer (0.05 mL) at 0°C. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5.0 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(20 \rightarrow 50\%$ EtOAc/PE) afforded 82 (6.8 mg, 74%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.40 (50% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -5.1$ ($c = 1.0$, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3747, 2931, 2867, 1718, 1460, 1381, 1253, 1100,$ 883, 757 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.01 (s, 1H, H₄), 5.81 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 5.72 (dd, $J=15.5, 7.7$ Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.66 (dd, $J=15.6, 7.4$ Hz, 1H, H_{17}), 5.30–5.22 (m, 2H, $H_{16} + H_{22}$), 4.38 (ddd, $J=11.9$, 5.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.77 (app d, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H, H_{29a} + H_{29b}), 3.69 (ddd, J = 8.3, 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H, H₂₁), 3.65–3.58 (m, 2H, H₁₅+H₂₅), 3.54–3.45 (m, 2H, H₇+ H13), 3.50 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.33 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.32 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.23 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.22–3.21 (m_{obs}, 1H, H₂₇), 3.17 (m, 1H, H₁₉), 2.55–2.40 (m, 3H, H_{10a} + H₁₈ + H_{8a}), 2.39–2.26 (m, 5H, $H_{10b}+H_{8b}+H_{6a}+H_{6b}+H_{24}$), 1.99 (m, 1H, H_{12}), 1.95–1.86 (m, 2H, $H_{26} + H_{28}$, 1.88 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.81–1.67 (m, 2H, $H_{14a} + H_{20a}$), 1.62–1.51 $(m, 2H, H_{14b}+H_{20b}), 1.12-1.03$ $(m, 27H, MeC_{12}+MeC_{26}+Si(CH (CH_3)_{2}$, + Si (CH_3) , 0.96 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC₁₈), 0.94 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.93 ppm (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): d=165.2, 158.2, 144.1, 139.2, 136.1, 130.2, 129.5, 117.6, 88.6, 81.5, 80.3, 79.1, 78.7, 78.5, 77.9, 77.4, 74.1, 65.0, 61.9, 57.9, 57.2, 57.1, 55.8, 55.7, 43.5, 40.8, 40.0, 39.7, 38.7, 38.4, 37.7, 36.8, 34.7, 32.5, 24.4, 18.1 (6 C), 16.7, 15.5, 14.8, 12.0 (3C), 11.2, 10.8 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{49}H_{89}IO_{10}SiNa: 1015.5162 [M+Na]^+$; found: 1015.5130.

84: A solution of (E)-3-(tributylstannyl)acrylic acid (83; 4.9 mg, 13.7 μ mol), 82 (6.8 mg, 6.8 μ mol), and *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (6.0 μ L, 34 μ mol) in NMP (250 μ L) was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The resulting solution was then cannulated into a stirred solution of tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (0.7 mg, 0.7 µmol) in NMP (50 μ L) at room temperature. After 16 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), and the reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous citric acid (1m, 6 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$ (5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (20% EtOAc/PE \rightarrow 80% EtOAc then 80% EtOAc/PE $+1$ % MeOH \rightarrow 80% EtOAc/PE+6% MeOH) afforded 84 (5.5 mg, 86%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.32 (80:16:4 EtOAc/hexane/CH₂Cl₂); [$\alpha l_D^{20} = -8.2$ ($c =$ 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2937, 2867, 1707, 1460, 1260, 1096, 910,$ 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.58 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.6 Hz, 1H, H₂), 6.04 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 1H, H₁), 5.85–5.80 (m, 1H, H₂), 5.83 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 5.69 (dd, J = 15.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.66 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.29–5.23 (m, 2H, H₁₆+H₂₂), 4.32 (m, 1H, H₁₁), 3.79 (dd, J=9.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H29a), 3.76 (dd, J=9.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H29b) 3.70–3.55 (m, 4H, $H_7 + H_{15} + H_{21} + H_{25}$), 3.52 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.43 (dt, J=8.1, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H₁₃), 3.33 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.26–3.22 (m_{obs}, 1H, H₂₇), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.23 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.18 (dt, $J=8.6$, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H₁₉), 2.49–2.43 (m_{obs}, 1H, H₁₈), 2.46 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 2.40–2.31 $(m, 5H, H_{8a}+H_{8b}+H_{10a}+H_{10b}+H_{24}), 2.25$ (dd, $J=13.8, 6.5$ Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 2.00 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.93 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.92–1.87 (m, 2H, H₂₆+H₂₈), 1.78 (ddd, $J=14.2$, 8.6, 5.7 Hz, 1H, H_{20a}), 1.73 (m, 1H, H_{14a}), 1.59-1.52 (m, 2H, H_{14b}+H_{20b}), 1.12–1.04 (m, 27H, $MeC_{12}+MeC_{26}$ +Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃+ Si(CH)₃), 0.96–0.92 ppm (m, 9H, $MeC_{18} + MeC_{24} + MeC_{28}$); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 169.5, 165.2, 158.0, 145.8, 141.3, 139.2, 136.7, 130.2,$ 129.8, 126.1, 119.6, 117.7, 88.8, 81.4, 80.3, 79.1, 78.9, 78.8, 77.6, 74.5, 65.1, 62.0, 57.6, 57.2, 57.0, 55.8, 55.6, 44.3, 40.7, 40.1, 39.5, 38.7 (2 C), 36.9, 36.8,

34.4, 32.6, 18.1 (6C), 18.0, 16.9, 15.6, 14.7, 12.0 (3C), 11.3, 11.2 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{52}H_{92}O_{12}SiNa$: 959.6250 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 959.6237.

76: Et₃N (2.2 μ L, 15.9 μ mol) and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (1.6 μ L, 10.6 μ mol) were added to a stirred solution of 84 (5.5 mg, 5.9 μ mol) in PhMe (0.3 mL) at room temperature. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with PhMe (3.0 mL) and added over 2 h by syringe pump to a stirred solution of DMAP (8.6 mg, 70.4 µmol) in PhMe (5.0 mL). After a further 2 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10.0 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with aqueous citric acid (10.0 mL, 10% w/w) followed by brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $(20 \rightarrow 60\% \text{ EtOAc/PE})$ afforded **76** (3.2 mg, 59%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.57 (40% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +18.3$ (c=0.18, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2926, 1713, 1635, 1581, 1463, 1382, 1271, 1096, 980 \text{ cm}^{-1};$ ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 7.52$ (dd, $J=15.1$, 11.7 Hz, 1H, H₃), 6.01 (d, $J=$ 11.7 Hz, 1 H, H₄), 5.87 (s, 1 H, H₃₉), 5.83 ($J=15.1$ Hz, 1 H, d, H₂), 5.59 (dd, $J=15.6$, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.51 (dd, $J=15.2$, 9.6 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.22 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.19 (app d, $J=10.1$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 5.14 (dd, $J=15.2$, 8.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.28 (ddd, $J=12.0$, 8.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.76 (dd, $J=9.7$, 6.1 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.56 (dd, $J=9.7$, 6.7 Hz, 1H, H_{29b}), 3.51 (m, 1H, H_{15}), 3.49-3.44 (m_{obs}, 1H, H_7), 3.43 (m, 1H, H_{21}), 3.36 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.27 (m, 1H, H13), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.19 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.17 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.11 (app dd, $J=10.9$, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, H₁₉), 2.87 (dd, $J=8.8$, 2.9 Hz, 1 H, H₂₇), 2.55 (dd, $J=$ 13.4, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{6a}), 2.47 (m, 1 H, H_{24}), 2.39–2.29 (m, 3 H, $H_{18} + H_{8a} +$ H_{10a}), 2.26–2.18 (m, 2H, H_{8b} + H_{10b}), 2.15 (dd, J=13.4, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 2.05–1.99 (m, 2H, H_{26} + H_{28}), 1.95 (ddd, J = 13.6, 8.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H_{14a}), 1.90 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.83 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.76 (ddd, J = 13.6, 9.5, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H_{14b}), 1.73 (m, 1H, H_{20a}), 1.31 (m, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.11 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 1.08–1.03 (m, 24H, $MeC_{24} + Si(CH(CH_3)_2)_3 + Si(CH)_3)$, 1.01 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{26} or MeC_{28}), 0.98 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{26} or MeC_{28}), 0.85 ppm (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{18}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 166.7, 165.2, 157.6, 145.1, 140.1, 139.1, 138.7, 130.6, 130.1,$ 126.2, 120.8, 117.6, 84.9, 80.7, 79.5, 79.3, 78.9, 78.0, 77.2, 75.3, 64.6, 61.0, 57.2, 56.9, 56.8, 55.8, 55.6, 44.4, 41.0, 40.7, 39.3, 38.3, 37.7, 36.8, 36.5, 33.6, 31.3, 18.1 (6C), 18.0, 17.6, 15.4, 14.2, 12.0 (3C), 10.5, 10.0 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{52}H_{90}O_{11}SiNa$: 941.6145 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 941.6160.

86: A solution of HFpy/py was prepared by addition of pyridinium hydrofluoride (0.1 mL) to a stirred solution of pyridine (0.3 mL) in THF (2 mL) at 0° C, followed by warming to room temperature for 30 min before use. This solution of HF.py/py $(100 \mu L)$ was added to a stirred solution of 76 (2.6 mg, 2.83 µmol) in THF (100 µL) at 0°C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 27 h before the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (0.5 mL). The mixture was then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 3 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (70% EtOAc/PE \rightarrow 70% EtOAc/PE+3% MeOH) afforded 86 (1.4 mg, 65%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.25 (70% EtOAc/hexane); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = +25.0$ ($c = 0.14$, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3445$, 2925, 1712, 1634, 1464, 1380, 1270, 1102, 1026, 975 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.54 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.6 Hz, 1 H, H₃), 6.02 $(d, J=11.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H}_4)$, 5.87 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 5.83 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H, H₂), 5.60 (dd, $J=15.6$, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.50 (dd, $J=15.1$, 9.6 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.23 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.18 (app d, $J=10.1$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 5.16 (dd, $J=15.1$, 8.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.28 (ddd, $J=11.9$, 8.4, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.83 (dd, $J=11.0$, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H_{29a}), 3.57 (dd, $J=11.1$, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H_{20b}), 3.51 (m, 1H, H_{15}), 3.49–3.40 (m_{obs}, 2H, $H_7 + H_{21}$), 3.46 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.27 (m, 1H, H₁₃), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.19 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.18 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.12 (app dd, J=10.8, 6.5 Hz, 1H, H_{19}), 2.89 (dd, $J=8.8$, 2.9 Hz, 1H, H_{27}), 2.77 (br s, 1H, OH), 2.54 (dd, $J=13.4$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 2.49 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 2.40–2.29 (m, 3H, $H_{18} + H_{8a} + H_{10a}$), 2.26–2.19 (m, 2H, $H_{8b} + H_{10b}$), 2.16 (dd, $J=13.5$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 2.07-1.99 (m, 2H, $H_{26}+H_{28}$), 1.94 (m, 1H, H_{14a}), 1.91 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.83 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.79–1.70 (m, 2H, H_{14b}+H_{20a}), 1.30 (m_{obs}, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.15 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 1.11 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC₁₂), 1.06 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}), 0.95 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.85 ppm (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{18}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 166.7, 165.2, 157.6, 145.6, 140.5, 139.2, 138.3, 130.8, 130.1, 126.1, 120.4, 117.6, 88.5, 80.7, 79.4, 79.3, 78.9, 77.9, (C7_{obsc}) 74.9, 64.7, 62.2, 57.1, 56.9, 56.8, 55.8, 55.6, 44.4, 41.0, 40.8, 39.3, 37.6, 37.2, 36.7, 36.2, 33.4, 31.3, 18.0, 17.6, 16.3, 14.1, 10.4, 9.9 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{43}H_{70}O_{11}Na$: 785.4810 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 785.4827.

11: A solution of 86 (1.40 mg, 1.83 µmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (200 µL) was added to a stirred suspension of Dess-Martin periodinane $(2.3 \text{ mg}, 5.5 \text{ µmol})$ and NaHCO₃ (1.5 mg, 18.3 µmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 µL) at 0^oC. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h, after which hexane was added, and the resulting precipitate was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (80% EtOAc/PE) on florisil to afford 11 (1.2 mg, 86%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.45 (80% EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = +14.0 (c = 0.12, CHCl₃); IR (neat): \tilde{v} = 2972, 2928, 2820, 1715, 1635, 1460, 1382, 1271, 1099, 1027, 979 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.75$ (s, 1H, H₂₉), 7.55 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.5 Hz, 1H, H₃), 6.03 (d, $J=11.5$ Hz, 1H, H₄), 5.87 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 5.84 (d, $J=15.0$ Hz, 1H, H₂), 5.59 (dd, $J=15.6$, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.48 (dd, $J=15.0$, 9.5 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.25 (app d, $J=10.1$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 5.23 (dd, $J=15.6$, 8.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.17 (dd, $J=15.0$, 8.9 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 4.28 (ddd, $J=12.0$, 8.3, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.54–3.41 (m, 3H, H15+H7+H21), 3.37 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.28 (m, 1H, H₁₃), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.20 (m_{obs}, 1H, H_{27}), 3.20 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.17 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.10 (app dd, $J=11.0$, 6.6 Hz, 1H, H₁₉), 2.72 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 2.54 (dd, J = 13.4, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 2.47 (m, 1H, H₂₄), 2.40–2.29 (m, 3H, H₁₈+H_{8a}+H_{10a}), 2.27–2.20 (m, 2H, H_{8b}+ H_{10b}), 2.17 (dd, J = 13.3, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H_{6b}), 2.01 (m, 1 H, H_{26}), 1.94 (m, 1 H, H_{14a}), 1.92 (s, 3H, MeC₅), 1.84 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.78-1.69 (m, 2H, H_{14b}+ H_{20a}), 1.31 (m_{obs}, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.19 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC₂₈), 1.11 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 1.04 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}), 0.85 ppm (app t, $J=$ 6.7 Hz, 6H, $MeC_{18}+MeC_{26}$); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{43}H_{68}O_{11}Na$: 783.4654 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 783.4665.

89: A stock solution (0.059 M in Et₂O) of the boron enolate of 10 was prepared by addition of Et_3N (6.5 μ L, 0.0468 mmol) and dicyclohexylboron chloride (5.1 μ L, 0.0234 mmol) to a solution of 10 (5.0 mg, 0.0234 mmol) in Et₂O (0.4 mL) at -10 °C. The resulting suspension was stirred for 1 h at 0° C before use. Aldehyde 11 (1.20 mg, 1.58 µmol) was dissolved in Et₂O (100 μ L), and this solution was stirred over powdered CaH₂ for 30 min at room temperature before being cooled to -78° C. A solution of the enolate (100 μ L, 5.9 μ mol) was added dropwise to this cooled solution, and the reaction mixture was stirred at -78° C for 30 min, then at -6 °C for 1 h. After warming to 0°C, the reaction was quenched by addition of pH7 buffer (0.3 mL) and MeOH(0.05 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 \times 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (70% EtOAc/PE \rightarrow 70% EtOAc/PE+3% MeOH) on florisil afforded 89 (1.08 mg, 70%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.11 (80% EtOAc/PE); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -12.0 (c=0.10, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3448, 2971, 2922, 1710, 1659, 1460,$ 1382, 1271, 1098, 1080 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.29 (s, 1H, NCHO), 8.07 (s, 1H, *NCHO), 7.52 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.6 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.19 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1 H, $*H_{36}$), 6.52 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1 H, H₃₆), 6.02 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1 H, H₄), 5.87 (s, 1 H, H₃₉), 5.83 (d, $J=15.1$ Hz, 1 H, H₂), 5.59 (dd, $J=15.6$, 5.8 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.49 (dd, $J=15.1$, 9.5 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.22 (ddd, $J=15.6$, 8.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.19 (app d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 5.15 (dd, $J=15.2$, 9.1 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 5.12 (m_{obs}, 1H, $*H_{35}$), 5.08 (m, 1H, H₃₅), 4.28 (ddd, J = 12.0, 8.2, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, H₁₁), 4.19 (m, 1 H, H₂₉), 4.09 (br s, 1H, OH), 3.53–3.44 (m, 3H, $H_{15} + H_{7} + H_{33}$), 3.43 (m, 1H, H_{21}) 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.38 (s, 3H, *OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.30 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.29 (s, 3H, *OMe), 3.28 (m, 1H, H₁₃), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.19 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.17 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.11 (m, 1H, H19), 3.07 (s, 3H, *NMe), 3.04 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.90 (dd, J=8.7, 3.2 Hz, 1H, H27), 2.83–2.74 (m, 2H, H_{30a} + H_{32}), 2.59 (m, 1H, H_{30b}), 2.54 (dd, J=13.1, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{6a}), 2.51-2.44 (m, 2 H, $H_{34a} + H_{24}$), 2.40-2.29 (m, 3 H, $H_{18} +$ $H_{8a}+H_{10a}$), 2.27–2.13 (m, 4H, $H_{8b}+H_{10b}+H_{34b}+H_{6b}$), 2.03 (m, 1H, H_{26}), 1.96 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 1.94 (m, 1H, H_{14a}), 1.90 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.83 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.79–1.70 (m, 2H, H_{14b}+H_{20a}), 1.31 (m_{obs}, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.11 (d, J=

6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 1.04–0.96 (m, 12H, $MeC_{24}+MeC_{32}+MeC_{26}+MeC_{28}$), 0.85 ppm (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, $MeC₁₈$) (distinguishable resonances of the minor rotamer are denoted with an asterisk); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 215.0$ *(215.2), 166.7, 165.2, 162.1 *(160.8), 157.6, 145.4, 140.3, 139.1, 138.4, 130.8, 130.5, 130.1 *(126.5), 126.2, 120.6, 117.5, 105.1 $*(106.7), 86.4, 82.5, 80.7, 79.4, 79.3, 78.9, 78.0 \,(C7_{obs}), 74.9 \,*(75.0), 68.5$ *(68.4), 61.2 *(61.2), 57.7 *(57.4), 57.2, 56.9, 56.8, 55.7, 55.6, 49.4 *(49.5), 47.9 *(47.7), 44.4, 41.0, 40.7, 40.3 *(40.2), 39.3, 37.6, 37.3 *(37.2), 36.7, 33.6, 31.3, 30.4 *(30.2), 27.5 *(33.0), 17.9, 17.6, 14.5 *(14.4), 14.2, 12.6 *(12.7), 10.5, 10.0 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₅₄H₉₁N₂O₁₄: 991.6465 $[M+NH₄]$ ⁺; found: 991.6509.

90: A stock solution (0.042m in HF) of Burgess reagent $(Et₃NSO₂NCO₂Me)$ was prepared by dissolving Burgess reagent (10 mg, 0.0420 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL) at room temperature. The resulting solution was stored under argon for 1 h before use. The stock solution of Burgess reagent (43 μ L, 1.81 μ mol) was added to a stirred solution of 89 (0.352 mg, 0.361 μ mol) in THF (100 μ L), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h before the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (0.5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ ($2 \times$ 2 mL). The combined organic layers were dried ($MgSO₄$) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (80% EtOAc/PE) on florisil afforded **90** (0.304 mg, 88%) as a colorless oil. R_f : 0.24 (80% EtOAc/PE); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} =$ -4.0 (c=0.03, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2925$, 2854, 1712, 1659, 1464, 1262, 1162, 1099, 1024 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.28 (s, 1H, NCHO), 8.07 (s, 1H, *NCHO), 7.54 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.6 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.18 (d, $J=14.5$ Hz, 1H, $*H_{36}$), 6.92 (dd, $J=15.9$, 8.2 Hz, 1H, H₂₉), 6.52 (d, $J=$ 14.0 Hz, 1H, H₃₆), 6.16 (dd, $J=15.9$, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H₃₀), 6.02 (d, $J=$ 11.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 5.87 (s, 1H, H39), 5.83 (d, J=15.1 Hz, 1H, H2), 5.60 (dd, $J=15.6$, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H₁₇), 5.48 (dd, $J=15.1$, 9.6 Hz, 1H, H₂₃), 5.22 (dd, $J=15.6$, 9.0 Hz, 1H, H₁₆), 5.17 (app d, $J=10.5$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 5.14 (dd, $J=15.2$, 9.0 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 5.12 (m_{obs}, 1H, *H₃₅), 5.09 (m, 1H, H₃₅), 4.29 (ddd, $J=12.1$, 8.2, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 3.53–3.45 (m, 3H, H₁₅+H₇+ H_{33}), 3.41 (m_{obs}, 1H, H₂₁) 3.41 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.29 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.28 (s, 3H, *OMe), 3.28 (m_{obs}, 1H, H₁₃), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.18 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.16 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.11 (m, 1H, H₁₉), 3.08 (s, 3H, *NMe), 3.04 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.83 (dd, $J=9.6$, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.76 (m, 1H, H₃₂), 2.65 (m, 1H, H₂₈), 2.56 (dd, J = 13.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H_{6a}), 2.50–2.41 (m, 2H, $H_{34a} + H_{24}$), 2.39–2.28 (m, 3H, $H_{18} + H_{8a} + H_{10a}$), 2.26–2.17 (m, 3H, H_{8b} + H_{10b} + H_{34b}), 2.16 (dd, J = 13.4, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H_{6b}), 1.95 (m, 1H, H_{14a}), 1.91 (s, 3H, MeC₅), 1.82 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.80 (m, 1H, H₂₆), 1.78–1.69 (m, 2H, H_{14b}+H_{20a}), 1.30 (m_{obs}, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.18 (d, J= 6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 1.11 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 1.03–0.99 (m, 6H, $MeC_{32}+MeC_{24}$), 0.87 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}) 0.85 ppm (d, J = 6.8 Hz, $3H, MeC_{18}$) (distinguishable resonances of the minor rotamer are denoted with an asterisk); HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for C₅₄H₈₅NO₁₃Na: 978.5913 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 978.5947.

5: Enone 90 (0.220 mg, 0.230 µmol) was dissolved in degassed wet PhMe (100 μ L, 99:1 PhMe/H₂O). The resulting solution was transferred to a flask containing $[Ph_3PCuH]_6$ (0.90 mg, 0.46 µmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h before the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ (0.3 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (9:1, 2×1 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (50% EtOAc/PE \rightarrow 80% EtOAc/PE $+3$ % MeOH) on florisil afforded reidispongiolide A $(5; 79\%$ by ¹H NMR) as a colorless oil (with a trace amount of Ph₃PO present as a minor contaminant). Further purification was performed by analytical HPLC $(10\% \text{ H}_2\text{O/MeOH})$, 1.0 mLmin⁻¹, reversed phase C-18, ODS 5 μ m × 4.6 mm) to afford 5 (165 µg, 77%) as a colorless amorphous solid. R_f : 0.15 (80% EtOAc/ PE); $t_R = 6.07$ min; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}^{20} = -10.0$ (c=0.02, CHCl₃); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2973$, 2932, 2826, 1711, 1659, 1463, 1382, 1271, 1098, 1079, 980 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 8.29 \text{ (s, 1H, NCHO)}, 8.07 \text{ (s, 1H, *NCHO)}, 7.53$ (dd, $J=15.2$, 11.6 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.18 (d, $J=14.8$ Hz, 1H, $*H_{36}$), 6.52 (d, $J=14.1$ Hz, 1H, H₃₆), 6.02 (d, $J=11.7$ Hz, 1H, H₄), 5.87 (s, 1H, H₃₉), 5.84 $(d, J=15.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H}_2)$, 5.60 $(dd, J=15.7, 5.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ H}_{17})$, 5.49 $(dd, J=$

15.1, 9.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{23}), 5.22 (ddd, $J=15.6$, 9.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, H_{16}), 5.17 (app d, $J=10.5$ Hz, 1H, H₂₅), 5.15 (dd, $J=15.1$, 8.8 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 5.12 $(m_{obs}, 1H, *H₃₅), 5.08 (m, 1H, H₃₅), 4.28 (ddd, J=12.0, 8.4, 3.5 Hz, 1H,$ H_{11}), 3.51 (m, 1H, H_{15}), 3.48–3.44 (m, 2H, $H_7 + H_{33}$), 3.42 (m, 1H, H_{21}) 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.31 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.29 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.29 (s, 3H, *OMe), 3.28 (m, 1H, H₁₃), 3.24 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.19 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.17 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.12 (m, 1H, H19), 3.07 (s, 3H, *NMe), 3.03 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.73 (m, 1H, H₃₂), 2.70 (dd, $J=7.1$, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₂₇), 2.61–2.52 (m, 2H, H_{30a} + H_{6a}), 2.51–2.43 (m, 3H, H_{24} + H_{30b} + H_{34a}), 2.40– 2.29 (m, 3H, H_{18} + H_{8a} + H_{10a}), 2.26–2.18 (m, 2H, H_{8b} + H_{10b}), 2.15 (dd, J= 13.3, 7.8, 1H, H_{6b}), 2.14 (m, 1H, H_{34b}), 1.98–1.92 (m, 2H, H₂₆+H_{14a}), 1.90 (s, 3H, MeC_5), 1.82 (m, 1H, H₁₂), 1.79–1.66 (m, 4H, H_{14b}+H_{29a}+H_{20a}+ H₂₈), 1.40 (m, 1H, H_{29b}), 1.31 (m, 1H, H_{20b}), 1.11 (d, $J=6.8$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{12}), 1.05 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, MeC_{24}), 0.99 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, MeC_{28}), 0.97 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{32}), 0.93 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, MeC_{26}), 0.85 ppm (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H, $MeC₁₈$) (distinguishable resonances of the minor rotamer are denoted with an asterisk); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 213.6, 166.8, 165.2, 162.1 *(160.8), 157.6, 145.3, 140.3, 139.2, 138.6, 130.6 *(126.3), 130.4, 130.0, 126.2, 120.6, 117.6, 105.3 *(107.0), 87.2, 82.3, 80.7, 79.4, 79.3, 78.9, 77.9 (C7_{obs}), 75.4, 61.6 *(61.5), 57.7 *(57.5), 57.1, 56.9, 56.8, 55.7, 55.6, 48.9 *(49.1), 44.4, 41.0 (2 C), 40.8, 39.3, 37.6, 36.7, 36.5, 34.4 *(34.3), 33.5, 31.3, 30.4 *(30.2), 27.5 *(33.0), 23.3 *(23.2), 18.0, 17.6, 17.5, 14.1, 12.7 *(12.9), 10.0, 9.9 ppm; HRMS (ES+): m/z calcd for $C_{54}H_{87}NO_{13}Na$: 980.6070 $[M+Na]^+$; found: 980.6081. These data is in accord with those reported by D'Auria et al.^[7,43]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the EPSRC (GR/S19929/01), Emmanuel College, Cambridge (fellowship to G.J.F.), NSERC-Canada (fellowship to R.B.), Merck Research Laboratories (scholarship to G.C.), the Ernst-Schering Research Foundation (fellowship to H.K.), and AstraZeneca. We thank Professor M. V. D'Auria (University of Naples "Federico II") for providing an authentic sample of natural reidispongiolide A and for helpful discussions throughout, and Dr. Ray Finlay (AstraZeneca) for assistance.

- [1] a) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, Science 2005, 310, 451; b) F. E. Koehn, G. T. Carter, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2005, 4, 206; c) M. S. Butler, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2005, 22, 162; d) D. J. Newman, G. M. Cragg, J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 461.
- [2] K. H. Altmann, J. Gertsch, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2007, 24, 327.
- [3] a) K.-S. Yeung, I. Paterson, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 4826; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4632; b) G. Fenteany, S. T. Zhu, Curr. Top. Med.Chem. 2003, 3, 593; c) I. Spector, F. Braet, N. R. Shochet, M. Bubb, Microsc.Res.Tech. 1999, 47, 18.
- [4] C. D. Smith, S. Carmeli, R. E. Moore, G. M. L. Patterson, Cancer Res. 1993, 53, 1343.
- [5] a) S. Saito, S. Watabe, H. Ozaki, H. Kigoshi, K. Yamada, N. Fusetani, H. Karaki, J.Biochem. 1996, 120, 552; b) M. Ojika, H. Kigoshi, Y. Yoshida, T. Ishigaki, M. Nisiwaki, I. Tsukada, M. Arakawa, H. Ekimoto, K. Yamada, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 3138.
- [6] a) G. Guella, I. Mancini, G. Chiasera, F. Pietra, Helv. Chim. Acta 1989, 72, 237; b) M. V. D'Auria, L. Gomez-Paloma, L. Minale, A. Zampella, J. Verbist, C. Roussakis, C. Debitus, Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 8657; c) S. Carbonelli, A. Zampella, A, Randazzo, C. Debitus, L. Gomez-Paloma, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 14 665; d) C. Bassarello, G. Bifulco, A. Zampella, M. V. D'Auria, R. Riccio, L. Gomez-Paloma, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 39.
- [7] M. V. D'Auria, L. Gomez-Paloma, L. Minale, A. Zampella, J. Verbist, C. Roussakis, C. Debitus, J. Patissou, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 4829.
- [8] K.-S. Yeung, I. Paterson, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4237.
- [9] J. S. Allingham, V. A. Klenchin, I. Rayment, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2006, 63, 2119.
- [10] a) I. Paterson, K. Ashton, R. Britton, H. Knust, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1963; b) I. Paterson, R. Britton, K. Ashton, H. Knust, J. Stafford, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 11986.
- [11] For other synthetic studies, see: a) A. Zampella, C. Bassarello, G. Bifulco, L. Gomez-Paloma, M. V. D'Auria, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 785; b) A. Zampella, V. Sepe, R. D'Orsi, G. Bifulco, C. Bassarello, M. V. D'Auria, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 1787; c) A. Zampella, V. Sepe, R. D'Orsi, M. V. D'Auria, Lett. Org. Chem. 2004, 1, 308.
- [12] For a preliminary communication of some of this work, see: I. Paterson, K. Ashton, R. Britton, G. Cecere, G. Chouraqui, G. J. Florence, J. Stafford, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6279; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6167.
- [13] J. S. Allingham, A. Zampella, M. V. D'Auria, I. Rayment, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2005, 102, 14527.
- [14] a) I. Paterson, G. J. Florence, K. Gerlach, J. P. Scott, N. Sereinig, J. Am.Chem.Soc. 2001, 123, 9535; b) I. Paterson, M. Donghi, K. Gerlach, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3453; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3315; c) I. Paterson, J. M. Goodman, M. Isaka, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7121; d) I. Paterson, R. M. Oballa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 8241.
- [15] For a review on asymmetric aldol reactions with boron enolates, see: C. J. Cowden, I. Paterson, Org. React. 1997, 51, 1.
- [16] For DFT calculations on related aldol transition states, see: R. S. Paton, J. M. Goodman, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4299.
- [17] D. A. Evans, K. T. Chapman, E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560.
- [18] Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka, O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 889.
- [19] D. B. Dess, J. C. Martin, *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, 48, 4155.
- [20] M. A. Blanchette, W. Choy, J. T. Davis, A. P. Essenfeld, S. Masamune, W. R. Roush, T. Sakai, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2183.
- [21] I. Paterson, M. V. Perkins, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 801.
- [22] I. Paterson, J. M. Goodman, M. A. Lister, R. C. Schumann, C. K. McClure, R. D. Norcross, Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4663.
- [23] a) I. Paterson, D. J. Wallace, S. M. Velazquez, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 9083; b) I. Paterson, D. J. Wallace, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 9087; c) I. Paterson, D. J. Wallace, C. J. Cowden, Synthesis 1998, 639.
- [24] M. T. Crimmins, M. T. Kirincich, S. J. Wells, A. L. Choy, Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 3675.
- [25] a) I. Paterson, C. Cowden, C. Watson, Synlett 1996, 209; b) I. Paterson, C. Watson, K.-S. Yeung, R. A. Ward, P. A. Wallace, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 11 955.
- [26] a) I. Paterson, C. J. Cowden, V. S. Rahn, M. D. Woodrow, Synlett 1998, 915; b) I. Paterson, M. D. Woodrow, C. J. Cowden, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6041; c) I. Paterson, S. B. Blakey, C. J. Cowden, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6005.
- [27] S. P. Romeril, V. Lee, J. E. Baldwin, T. D. W. Claridge, B. Odell, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7757.
- [28] S. E. Schaus, B. D. Brandes, J. F. Larrow, M. Tokunaga, K. B. Hansen, A. E. Gould, M. E. Furrow, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1307.
- [29] a) E. Negishi, D. E. Van Horn, T. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6639; b) C. L. Rand, D. E. Van Horn, M. W. Moore, E. Negishi, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4093.
- [30] Vinyl stannane 41 was prepared in two steps from PMBOCH₂CCH, as described in the Supporting Information.
- [31] J. K. Stille, B. L. Groh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, 109, 813.
- [32] G. D. Allred, L. S. Liebeskind, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2748.
- [33] T. Mukaiyama, K. Banno, K. Narasaka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7503.
- [34] a) I. Paterson, K. R. Gibson, R. M. Oballa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8585; b) I. Paterson, M. J. Coster, D. Y.-K. Chen, K. R. Gibson, D. J. Wallace, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 2410; c) D. A. Evans, P. J. Coleman, B. Côté, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 788.
- [35] J. Inanaga, K. Hirata, T. Saeki, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989.
- [36] I. Paterson, K.-S. Yeung, J. B. Smaill, Synlett 1993, 774.

386 www.chemasianj.org © 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 367 – 387

EMISTEY **AN ASIAN JOURNAL**

- [37] A. DeMico, R. Margarita, L. Parlanti, A. Vescovi, G. Piancatelli, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6974.
- [38] Vinyl stannane 83 was prepared by the method of Fraser-Reid and co-workers: A. M. Gomez, J. C. Lopez, B. Fraser-Reid, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 1689.
- [39] I. Paterson, S. B. Blakey, unpublished results.
- [40] I. Ohtani, T. Kusumi, Y. Kashman, H. Kakisawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092.
- [41] E. M. Burgess, H. R. Penton, E. A. Taylor, J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 26.
- [42] W. S. Mahoney, D. M. Brestensky, J. M. Stryker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 291.
- [43] Copies of ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra and HPLC chromatograms for synthetic and natural reidispongiolide A are provided in the Supporting Information.
- [44] a) S. D. Rychnovsky, B. Rogers, G. Yang, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3511; b) D. A. Evans, D. L. Reiger, J. R. Gage, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 7099.

Received: October 26, 2007 Published online: January 7, 2008